# Student Parliament 5 2021



# Studenttinget VED NMBU

Monday 11th October 2021 TU101 in the Tower Building KI 17.15-21.00

Student Parliament is open for everyone, welcome!

Food will be served from 1645. All participants must sign up and let us know your food preferences by Thursday 07<sup>th</sup> october

The student Board encourages all participants to bring their own plate, cutlery, cup and waterbottle.

# **AGENDA**

STUDENT PARLIAMENT 5 - 2021, MONDAY 11th OF OCTOBER AT 5:15 PM, TU101 IN THE TOWER BUILDING COMPLETE CASE DOCUMENTS ARE ONLY SENT TO PERMANENT STUDENT REPRESENTATIVES. THE DOCUMENTS ARE ALSO PUBLISHED AT THE STUDENT BOARD WEBSITE:

http://www.studentdemokratiet.no

# Registration begins at 17:00

4
4
4
4
4 5
5
5
6
6
7
7
8
10
10
10
11
1
11
12
13
18
19
23
26
28
29 30
31

# 2151 Constitution 2151.1 Approval of today's agenda and summoning 2151.2 Approval of the previous meeting report Reports are uploaded to our homepage (http://www.studentdemokratiet.no) a week after each Student Parliament Meeting. If you need a paper copy of the report please get in touch with the Student Board at their office (The Clock building) 2151.3 Appointment of a Counting Committee 1. 2. 3. 2152 Orientation cases 2152.1 Minutes The minutes shall be made known to the public within 12.00 the Thursday before Student Parliament. The minutes will be sent to the Student Parliaments representatives by mail. This is done to get the most updated minutes, and minimize paper usage. Copies of each minutes will be printed out and kept at the Student Democracy office, together with the case papers from the current Student Parliament. Those who report to the Student Parliament through minutes are: The Student Board (AU)

- 23
- The University Board (US) 24
  - The Education Board (SU)
  - Student Welfare Organization in Ås (SiÅs)
  - Student and Academics international helping fund (SAIH)
- International Student Union (ISU) 28
- 29 The Research Board (FU)
  - The Learning Environment Committee (LMU)
- Erasmus Student Network (ESN) 31

32

30

1

2 3

4

5 6 7

8 9

10

11 12 13

14

15 16 17

18

19

20

21

22

25

26 27

34 35	2152.2 departmen	Information from the communication department and study at.	
36	•	Case responsible: the communication dep and study dep	
37 38 39 40 41	•	rsen Walde from the communication department and Ole Jørgen Torp from the tment is orienting.	
42 43 44	2152.3	Information about Elections on Student Parliament 6 Case responsible: The Student Board	
45 46 47 48	Purpose: To inform th Student Park	e Student Parliament on the various positions that are up for election at the iament 6.	
49 50 51		nt Parliament 6, on of November 2021, the following positions are up for election:	
52 53		chairman and one substitute (1 + 1 people) lection Committee (4 people)	
54 55 56 57 58 59	The Main Chairman: The Moderator, also called the Chairman for the Student Parliament, is elected once a year, the position lasts from new-year to new-year. The main responsibility of the moderators is ensure that Student Parliament meetings make it through the planned agenda in a orderly timely fashion.		
The Election Committee: The Election Committee has the main responsibility of finding candidates for all post for election at the Student Parliament, and other student elections at the University cooperation with the Student Board, you advertise the positions, and prepare the cases for election cases. The Election Committee has the option to make their suggestions do so if they feel it is necessary. Members of the Election Committee are not allowed any other positions while they are on the committee, except to run for re-election Election Committee. Members of the Election Committee are to attend the Student I meetings and events.		Committee has the main responsibility of finding candidates for all positions up at the Student Parliament, and other student elections at the University level. In with the Student Board, you advertise the positions, and prepare the case papers cases. The Election Committee has the option to make their suggestions and should feel it is necessary. Members of the Election Committee are not allowed to run for sitions while they are on the committee, except to run for re-election for the mittee. Members of the Election Committee are to attend the Student Parliament's	
72 73	2152.4	Information about Student Election in the Autumn 2021  Case responsible: The student Board	
74 75 76 77	Purpose: To inform of	deadlines for the upcoming election for Student Election Autumn 2021	
78	Background	l:	

79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90	board. The Factorepresentative highest body a representative.  The candidates.  Duration of the	male representatives are elected for the University Board and the Faculty culty Board is the highest body at each faculty. There will be elected two student is and two deputy representatives at each faculty. The University Board is the t NMBU. There will be elected one permanent representatives and one deputy is are elected electronically.  The eposition is from 01.01.2021 and is for one year.  The tudent election is pending.
92 93 94		A diversity committee on Campus Ås  Case responsible: The Student Board
95 96 97 98	ensure a more	f the Campus Diversity Committee is to assess the needs of improvement to inclusive campus for all students at NMBU. The committee should look at all usion but shall begin with inclusion between international and Norwegian
99	The Diversity (	Committee will:
100 101 102 103	the Student	needs assessment (such as surveys, interest groups, interviews and so on) for dent Democracy to assess what inclusion actions must be taken to ensure that all is have the same accessibility to participate in the Student Democracy. The nent shall result in proposals to enhance the accessibility
104 105 106 107 108 109	docume regardl	oader needs assessment of the campus life and climate to create a policy ent with recommendations for a more inclusive campus for all students ess of ability, age, national origin, religious beliefs, ethnicity, race, gender and social economic status
110 111 112 113 114	actively welcom limited	institutional and organizational communications, policies, and procedures promoting diversity and inclusion using best practices and ensuring a safe, ling community climate that values a culture of inclusivity including, but not to, ability, age, national origin, religious beliefs, ethnicity, race, gender identity ital economic status
115 116 117 118		a guideline for inclusivity which all student organizations can access and utilize their activities and organization more accessible to all students
119	• Report	to the student parliament through The Student Board (AU)
<ul><li>120</li><li>121</li></ul>	2152.6	Orientation about the plan of action for 2022
122 123		Case responsible: The Student Board

124 125 126 127 128 129	The student board regards it as necessary to move the discussion case about the Action plan 2022 to a workshop. Preliminary date and time is the 19 <sup>th</sup> of October, 17:00 – 20:00. We wish to move the case because there are so many important and large decision cases we wish to spend enough time to discuss. At the same time, a workshop is an appropriate forum to work on the Action plan for the next year. We also wish to invite a large part of the student democracy.		
131	2153	Decision Cases	
132 133 134	2153.1	Allocation of Welfare Funds Fall 2021  Case responsible: The Student Board	
135 136	Attachmer	nts:	
137 138 139		view of Applications for Welfare Funds Fall 2021 osed Allocation Fall 2021	
140 141 142	<b>Purpose:</b> Approve th	e allocation of welfare funds for autumn 2021	
143 144 145 146 147 148	<b>Background:</b> Earlier this year, at ST 2, the main distribution of welfare funds was done, which was 92 percent of the welfare funds. Now the remaining 8% will be distributed. The purpose of this smaller allocation is to be able to provide funds to newly started teams and associations, in addition to that it should be possible to apply for funds for unforeseen expenses.		
149 150 151 152 153		t: t Board and the committee for the allocation of welfare funds adjusts that the rliament approves the allocation in accordance with the attached budget.	
154 155	2153.2	Decide content of consultant reply to Semester structure  Case responsible The Student Board	
156 157 158	Attachment 3: Content of consultant reply to Semester Structure		
159 160 161		ne content of the consultant reply to semester structure	
162 163 164 165 166 167 168	for all stude now 3 concr therefore ha on ST5 to m consultation	er structure case is an important and intrusive project that will have major consequences ents at NMBU. Therefore, AU wants to look at the case in a new perspective. There are rete proposals on the table from the working group led by Ulrik Meisner, and we ve tangible proposals on the table for debate. AU wants to make a decision for this case take it easier to get more impact force behind our arguments into the official hearing. The deadline is 1 <sup>st</sup> of November.	
169 170	The case was up at ST4 for discussion, and consequently through all student councils as well. Furthermore, there was also a workshop during the Student Democracy's Autumn Seminar 2021,		

171 with Ulrik Meisner and director of studies Ole Jørgen Torp, and an open input round at Bikuben 172 where all students were invited. The head of AU has also been talking with the boards associated 173 with the Student Society in Ås. 174 Based on this, we have now presented a document with specific points and perspectives that we shall include in the consultation response on behalf of the Student Democracy. 175 176 177 **Documents that shall be attached:** 178 https://www.nmbu.no/ansatt/adm/ksu/transformasjon-utdanningen/delprosjekt-semesterstruktur 179 180 181 2153.2 Decide the Program of Principles 182 183 Case responsible: The Student Board 184 185 **Attachment 4**: The Program of Principles 186 187 **Purpose:** 188 To decide The Program of Principles 189 190 **Background:** 191 The Program of Principles states the basic principles and values the students at NMBU supports. 192 This is one of our political documents and will be used as a guideline for the political priorities of the 193 Student Parliament and the Student Board. It has previously been decided by Student Parliament that the Program of Principles shall be revised every 4<sup>th</sup> year instead of every 3<sup>rd</sup> year. Since it is now 3 194 195 years since it was last revised, it is now to be approved again, and will then be valid for the next 4 196 years. 197 198 2153.2 Decide the Resolution of Vollskogen 199 200 Case responsible: The working committee 201 202 203 **Attachment 5** Resolution Vollskogen 204 205 **Purpose:** 206 To decide the resolution of Vollskogen 207 208 **Background:** 209 The process behind the planned housing development in Vollskogen, also known as Pentagonskogen, 210 is in progress. Having such a development next to the student accommodations at Pentagon will lead 211 to conflicts and have consequences for, students, future residents of the development and for 212 biodiversity. The Student Democracy should take the opportunity to form an opinion about a local 213

current affair.

- 214 The result of this case will have great consequences for future students living in Pentagon. It is vital
- 215 that the Student Democracy and the Student Board work with the municipality to ensure that
- 216 students' voices are heard, and that it is possible to find a solution that benefits students and
- 217 decreases the chances for conflicts between students at Pentagon and residents in the nearby areas.
- 218 The case was discussed at ST4, and the attached resolution is a concretised resolution processed by
- 219 an editorial committee on behalf of AU.

#### 2153.2 Decide the SAIH Student Advocacy Seminar resolution

Case responsible: SAIH

**Attachment 6**: The SAIH Resolution

#### **Purpose:**

To decide the SAIH resolution of Student Advocacy Seminar

#### **Background:**

We are presenting this resolution on behalf of SAIH Ås, Norwegian Students' and Academics' International Assistance Fund's local chapter. As part of our annual political campaign, we are focusing on the recognition of student activists as human rights defenders. Students play an important role in holding governments accountable for their human rights record. Sadly, we see this development go in the wrong direction as authoritarian governments try to silence the critical voices of students. Students are discredited for raising their voices, criminalized, and mislabeled as terrorists to alienate the concerns raised by students. Without proper recognition, students face uncertainty and lack the protections other groups have for their human rights advocacy.

To strengthen this recognition, we aim to establish Student Advocacy Seminars at NMBU. These seminars were created by Scholars at Risk to engage students in learning about human rights through focusing on the cases of imprisoned students and academics. The Student Advocacy Seminars can be implemented in many forms ranging from an accredited class, over workshops, to seminars.

to seminars.

Having the seminars at NMBU helps students develop advocacy skills within human rights through research and the practical aspect of working with a real-world case. Essentially, the Student Advocacy Seminars provide students with the opportunity to develop skills within advocacy, research, writing, and teamwork with a focus on human rights. The practical aspect could enrich the academic experience for students at NMBU within a field that is presently not widely available. This focus could greatly benefit both the students and NMBU as an educational institution.

We worked with the international officer of the student board last semester. Together we have had meetings with academics at NMBU and established contact with Scholars at Risk in New York to discuss this project. Also, a meeting with Scholars at Risk took place, where they offered their assistance and guidance. If implemented, Scholars at Risk will follow-up on the seminars and provide support. By formalizing our cooperation with the student board, we hope to anchor the importance of human rights advocacy in an academic setting. We hope the Student Advocacy Seminars will give NMBU students insight into the importance of activism and the challenges faced by politically active students and academics in authoritarian countries.

The struggle students and academics face in protecting human rights is an important topic to highlight. Recently, Scholars at Risk published their report "Free to Think 2020", in which they registered 341 attacks against higher education between September 2019 and August 2020. These attacks have taken the form of killings, imprisonment, prosecution, travel restrictions and more. Of the 341 attacks, 118 were connected to students and student activism. Additionally, SAIH's latest report "Students by day, rebels by night?" put a spotlight on the alarming criminalization of student activist movements all over the world.

The Student Parliament's long-term strategy 2021-2023 states that "arrangements shall be

The Student Parliament's long-term strategy 2021-2023 states that "arrangements shall be made for students to have the opportunity to engage in local and global issues, and students should be encouraged to be active world citizens ". In line with the strategy, NMBU should implement a yearly Student Advocacy Seminar where students can learn about and show solidarity to student activists around the world who are fighting for democracy, academic freedom, and freedom of speech.

271 272 273 274 275 276	<ul> <li>NMBU should implement a yearly Students Advocacy Seminars</li> <li>The Student Parliament will:</li> <li>Actively support the creation of a Student Advocacy Seminar at NMBU</li> </ul>		
277	2154	Discussion Cases	
278 279 280	2154.1	Initial Processing of the Student Democracy's Budget for 2022  Case responsible: The Student Board	
281 282	Attachment	ts:	
283 284 285		oposed budget for 2022 counting 2020	
286 287 288	<b>Purpose:</b> Discuss the l	budget for 2022	
289 290 291 292 293 294 295	<b>Background:</b> The Budget of the Student Democracy for 2021 is raised as its own discussion case on SP5. It is presented now to answer questions and discuss potential priorities. The Budget will presented for approval at SP 6.		
296 297	2154.2	Unjustified furlong from studies  Case responsible Iselin Østvedt	
298 299	Attachmen	t 9 Unjustified furlong from studies	
300 301 302 303	<b>Purpose:</b> Discuss the	case of unjustified furlong from studies	
304 305 306 307	<b>Background:</b> The reason why I want to shed a light on this issue is because I believe that students at NMBU must have the same opportunities and rights as students at other universities and colleges.		
308 309 310 311 312 313	To have the opportunities to apply for unjustified furlough will be able to give the individual student the opportunity to gain new experiences and motivation for further studies. Being able to pause your studies to gain valuable experience from a job / trip or something else - I believe it can contribute to even better students. It will also give those students who need a break, an opportunity to do so.		
314 315 316 317		r unjustified furlough is something that is done at NTNU, UiB, UiO, etc. Why should ble to do it at NMBU?	

318 319	2154.3	Resolution concerning SiÅs rental prices  Case responsible: Jardar Lindaas	
320 321 322	Attachment	10 Resolution concerning SiÅs rental prices	
323 324 325	Purpose: Discuss the resolution concerning SiÅs rental prices		
326 327 328 329 330 331 332 333 334 335 336 337 338	index. This in taking an inc stop and for and worse te Parliament wo consumer preducation for	ar, rental prices go up faster than the general student support and consumer price inplies that students are getting worse and worse terms and the landlords are reasing share of our small budgets. We believe it is time for this price gallop to rental prices to follow the consumer price index. If not, students will get worse rms, and lose in the real price growth. We hope with this that the Student will support our case, and to align the Student Association's rental prices to the ice index. The purpose of the Studentskipnads" is to work for an equal right to estudents" and if the rental prices are to continue to increase faster than the ice index, we will in time not be able to afford the costs that come with a student's	
340	2155	Elections and Appointments	
341 342 343	2155.1	Election of the Buddy General Case responsible: Election Committee	
344	Purpose		
345 346 347	To elect a Bu upon election	ddy General for 2021/2022. The position lasts one year and begins immediately 1.	
348 349 350 351	<b>Background:</b> The Buddy General has the head responsibility for the organization of buddy week in the spring and fall of 2022 and serves as an intermediary between buddy leaders and NMBU.		
352 353 354 355 356 357 358	As Buddy General, one has good insight to life on campus. They must be able to stand in front of large crowds, and work continuously and independently throughout the year. It is an advantage to have participated in buddy week before, either as an active student, a buddy, or as a buddy leader.  The Buddy General secures wellbeing, an inclusive environment at NMBU, and is a key person for information flow aimed at the new students.		
359	Background	l:	
360	J		

362		
363		
364 365 366 367	2156	Other Cases
368 369 370 371 372 373	2157	Meeting Evaluation
374		

#### 2158 Attachments

375

377

378

379

2158.1 Attachment 1 Applications for the Welfare Funds Autumn 2021

#### Overview over Welfare Funds applications fall 2021

**Academic organizations** 

Name:	Struktura
Contact person:	Martin Ferdinand Andresen
Number of students (student	100%
body):	
Contingent:	100,- pr år
Bank:	15 000,-
External financing:	Frifond 6000,. Billettsalg 3000
Basic support:	600,-
Activity funds (amount, applied	9000,- Planlegger i praksis 1 og 2, mat( pizza og brus
for):	og kaffe) 2 kvelder 3000 pr kveld, utvekslingsmøte
	mat, brus og kaffe 3000,-
Accounting:	ok
Suggested answer:	Totalt 10000
	1000,- i grunnstøtte
	9000,- i aktivitetsmidler som i søknad

Robotnik Name: **Contact person:** Eivind Lid Trøen Number of students (student 100% body): **Contingent:** 0 Bank: 9008,-**External financing:** 10000,- Sponset av Saga Robotics **Basic support:** Activity funds (amount, applied 22 400,- felles temafest med DataSci 100,-, for): Robotniklekene 3900 (1500 mat, 2000 utstyr, 400 premier) Bålkveld 200,-, Julebord 16200 til mat( 360 pr pers for 45 stk, og 1500 til leie av rom på Samfunnet), Grøt og kos 300 (200 til mat og 100 til premie) **Accounting:** 0k Suggested answer: Totalt 20900,-Robotniklekene 3900,-Temafest med DataSci 100,-Bålkveld 200,-Grøt og kos 300,-Halloween 200,julebord 16200,-

Name:	Energiforeningen
Contact person:	Petronelle Susaas Holt
Number of students (student	100%
body):	
Contingent:	50,- pr år
Bank:	40 450,-
External financing:	10 000 statskraft, 15 000 Redu
Basic support:	1200

Activity funds (amount, applied	16 050,-: DUSfest 750, Energym 350,-, Framtidspizza
for):	medlemmer+gjester 4000,-, Energym 350,- Hyttetur til
	Sandore 750,-, energym 350,-, Bålkveld 500,-,
	Bedriftsbesøk 1000,- Paintball 7000,- Joulelunsj 1000,-
Accounting:	0k
Suggested answer:	Totalt 9050,-
	DUSfest 750,-
	Energgym 1050,-
	Famtidspizza 4000,-
	Hyttetur 750,-
	Bålkveld 500,-
	Joulelunsj 1000,-
	Bedriftsbesøk 1000,-

Name:	MINASfæren, Naturforvalterlaget,
	Skogbrukerforeningen, Energiforeningen
Contact person:	Evita Kolseth Skaar
Number of students (student	100%
body):	
Contingent:	0-100 kr pr år
Bank:	0
External financing:	300,- (MINASfæren), 500,(Energiforeningen), 300,-
	(Naturforvalterlaget), 400,-(Skogrukerforeningen)
Basic support:	0
Activity funds (amount, applied	3000,- (Smittevernutstyr 200,-, pokal og gravering
for):	1100,-, Utstyr 1700,-)
Accounting:	ok
Suggested answer:	2800 fordeles heniktsmessig

Name:	Natura Innova
Contact person:	Åsmund Skjerve Revhaug
Number of students (student	100%
body):	
Contingent:	0
Bank:	9335,35
External financing:	4000,- fra NMBU
Basic support:	800,-
Activity funds (amount, applied	13071,- Sandoren( leie 1500,-, smittevernsutstyr 200,
for):	forbruksvarer 500, middag 750, middag 750, kaffe
	100), Bålkveld (mat og ved 796,-), Julebord (mat
	4425,-, lokale 1000, julepynt 200,-) Julegrøt 2850
	(grøt, marsipangris, pepperkaker, saft)
Accounting:	0k
Suggested answer:	Totalt ,- 11175
	Sandoren 2100,-
	Bålkveld 600,- (Ved kan man få på Eika)
	Julebord 5625,-
	Julegrøt 2850,-

Name:	Tannhjulet
Contact person:	Simon Lindahl Jacobsen
Number of students (student	100%
body):	
Contingent:	0,-

Bank:	30 527,-
External financing:	0,-
Basic support:	1000,-
Activity funds (amount, applied	23750,- Paintball 5000, eksamenslunsj 300,-, Allmøte
for):	500, Hngekøyetur 2000,-, Bowling 5000,-, Vaffellunsj
	300,-, Pizzakveld med quize1500,- turnering 150,-
	padel tennis 4000,-, paint and sip 1000,-, nye stoler til
	fellesrom 4000,-
Accounting:	0k
Suggested answer:	Totalt ,-3100
	Eksamenslunsj 300,-
	Vaffellunsj 150,-
	Pizzakveld med quiz 1500,-
	Turnering 150,-
	Paint and sip 1000,-

Name:	IVSA International Veterinary Students
	Association
Contact person:	August Elvan Eckhoff
Number of students (student	100%
body):	
Contingent:	200,- en gangsbeløp
Bank:	43 000,-
External financing:	9500,- Veterinærmedisinsk studentråd
Basic support:	500,-
Activity funds (amount, applied	1800 (forfriskninger 500,-, Premiequiz 300,
for):	Kompensasjon til foredragsholder 1000,-)
Accounting:	0k
Suggested answer:	Totalt 1800,- som i søknaden

Name:	Molekylet			
	V			
Contact person:	Maren Sofie Foss Gulliksen			
Number of students (student	100%			
body):				
Contingent:	150 engangsbeløp			
Bank:	57 000,-			
External financing:	15 000 fra Naturviterne, 30 000 fra KBM			
Basic support:	1200			
Activity funds (amount, applied	17600,- Julebord (pynt og premier 1500, fotograf 500,-			
for):	fotovegg 400) Sound boks 10 000,- Pimp my labcoat			
	(maling 400, frukt 200, brus 300) Paint and sip			
	(maling 400, lerret 800, pizza 2000,-) Julekos (gløgg			
	m/tilbehør 500, pepperkaker og glasur 500)			
Accounting:	0k			
Suggested answer:	Totalt ,- 7200			
	Julebord 2400,-			
	Pimp my labcoat 1000,-			
	Paint and sip 3200,-			
	Julekos 600,-			

Name:	VSF Veterinærmedisinsk Studentforening
Contact person:	August Reierstad Haugen
Number of students (student	100%
body):	

Contingent:	200,- en gang pr år
Bank:	750 000,-
External financing:	50 000 medlemskontigent, 200 000 billettinntekter,
	30 000,- gensersalg
Basic support:	1200
Activity funds (amount, applied	40 000 (førstehjelpskurs 5000, Immatrikuletinsfest
for):	66000, Linjing 4000, Fjøsfest 120 000, Juleavslutning
	1000 , Julekalender 2000 , Høstkandidatball 49000 ,
Accounting:	0k
Suggested answer:	Totalt 8000,-
	Førstehjelpskurs 5000,-
	Julekalender 2000,-
	Juleavslutning 1000,-

# **Music and culture**

Navn:	Studentstorbandet ved NMBU st 1912
Contact person:	Hedda Lilleås
Number of students (student	100%
body):	
Contingent:	200,- pr semester
Bank:	88 881,90
External financing:	4500,-konsert fro NMBU ved åpning av VET
Basic support:	0
Activity funds (amount, applied	13 000,- dekke uforutsette utgifter til ekstern dirigent
for):	
Accounting:	0k
Suggested answer:	10500,- til øving, ikke konsert.

#### 

Navn:	Pikekoret IVAR
Contact person:	Marit Serianna Stenødegård Hjerpseth
Number of students (student	100%
body):	
Contingent:	300 pr år
Bank:	75 296
External financing:	25 000,- oppdrag
Basic support:	800
Activity funds (amount, applied	10 000 bygging av plattform
for):	
Accounting:	0k
Suggested answer:	0

# 

#### **INTERNATIONAL ORGANIZATIONS**

111 A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A				
Name:	IAESTE			
Contact person:	Cecilie Danbolt Tveter			
Number of students (student	100%			
body):				
Contingent:	0			
Bank:	146 800,-			
External financing:	5000 for IN Trondheim (IAESTE Næringslivsdager)			
Basic support:	0,-			
Activity funds (amount, applied	4596,- ( pizza 3375, brus 1221,.)			
for):				
Accounting:	Ok			

Suggested answer: 4596,-

393 **OTHERS** 

392

394

395

396 397 398

Name:	Nmbu Mc
Contact person:	Patrick Pascal Bickenbach
Number of students (student	85,7% (18 av 21 medlemmer)
body):	
Contingent:	0
Bank:	0
External financing:	0
Basic support:	2000 til roll up
Activity funds (amount, applied	1300 500 mat til kjøretur åpen for alle, 800 mat til bli
for):	kjent kveld med NMBU MC åpen for alle med
	tilknytning til NMBU
Accounting:	0k
Suggested Answer:	Totalt 3300 ,-
	Grunnstøtte 800,-
	Ekstra grunnstøtte 1200,-
	Kjøretur åpen for alle 500,-
	Bli kjent kveld 800,-

**Nordisk Landskamp** Name: Astrid Ingeborg Skiaker, Jostein Murud Gahre **Contact person:** Number of students (student 100% body): Contingent: 600,- pr gjennomføring per deltaker Bank: 3000,-**External financing:** 0 **Basic support:** 800 Activity funds (amount, applied 6900,-(frokost 2000,-, lunsj 2000,-, grilling 3000,- tfor): skjorter 1000,-, drikkeflasker 400,-, enkle premier 300,-) Accounting: 0k **Suggested Answer:** 0

**Broderskapet Unity** Name: Vegar Aspang **Contact person:** Number of students (student 100% body): **Contingent:** 500,- (nytt for I år) 217 213,-Bank: 130 000 pr semester dugnad Oslo Tennisklubb **External financing: Basic support:** 800,-Activity funds (amount, applied 0,for): **Accounting:** 0k **Suggested Answer:** 0

# 2158.2 Attachment 2 Suggested allocation of the welfare funds autumn 2021.

NAME:		APPLIED:			RE	COMMENDE	D ALLOCA	TION
TV WILL.			Exstra		Justified	Justified		
Applicant	Amount applied for	Basic support	basic support	Activity funds	basic support	extra basic support	Activity funds	Total
Academic societies								
Sruktura	kr 9 600,00	kr 600,00		kr 9 000,00	kr 1 000,00		kr 9 000,00	kr 10 000 (
Robotnik	kr 22 400,00	kr 0,00		kr 22 400,00	kr 0,00		kr 20 900,00	
Energiforeningen		kr 1 200.00		kr 16 050,00	0		kr 9 050,00	kr 9 050,0
MINASfæren/	KI 10 030,00	KI I 200,00		KI 10 030,00	U		KI 9 030,00	KI 9 000,0
Naturforvalterlaget								
Skogbrukerforeningen								
Energiforeningen	kr 3 000,00	kr 0.00		kr 3 000,00			kr 2 800,00	kr 2 800 (
Natura Innova	kr 13 871,00	kr 800,00		kr 13 071,00	kr 0,00		kr 11 175,00	
Tannhjulet		kr 1 000,00		kr 23 750,00	kr 0,00		kr 3 100,00	kr 3 100,0
IVSA Internatioal	2 . 7 00,00	000,00		1 20 7 00,00	0,00		0 100,00	1 0 100,0
Vetereinary Students								
Association	kr 2 300,00	kr 500,00		kr 1 800,00	kr 0,00		kr 1 800,00	kr 1 800 (
Molekylet	-	kr 1 200,00		kr 17 600,00	0		kr 7 200,00	kr 7 200,0
VSF		kr 1 200,00		kr 40 000,00	kr 0,00		kr 8 000,00	kr 8 000,0
VOI	141 11 200,00	Ki 1 200,00		141 10 000,00	10,00		11 0 000,00	кі о ооо,с
Total Academic societies	kr 153 971,00	kr 6 500,00		kr 146 671,00	kr 1 000,00		kr 73 025,00	kr 74 025,
Musta and Outron								
Music and Culture								
Studentstorbandet ved NMBU	kr 13 000,00	kr 0,00		kr 13 000,00			kr 10 500,00	kr 10 500,
Pikekoret IVAR	kr 1 800,00	kr 800,00		kr 10 000,00	kr 0,00		kr 0,00	kr 0,00
Total music and culture:	kr 14 800	kr 800,00		kr 23 000,00	kr 0,00		10 500,00	kr 10 500,
Politics, society and religion								
Total politics, society and religion:								
Internationally oriented organizations								
IAESTE	kr 4 596,00	kr 0,00		kr 4 596,00			kr 4 596,00	kr 4 596,0
Total internationally oriented organizations	kr 4 596,00	kr 0,00		kr 4 596,00			kr 4 596,00	kr 4 596,0
Location societies								
Total location societies:	1			1				
Others								
Others	kr 2 202 22	kr 2 000 00		kr 4 000 00	ler 000 00	les 4,000,00	land occurr	kr 0 000 :
NMBU MC	kr 3 200,00	kr 2 000,00		kr 1 300,00		kr 1 200,00	kr 1 300,00	
Nordisk Landskamp	kr 7 700,00	kr 800,00		kr 6 900,00	kr 0,00		kr 0,00	kr 0,00
Broderskapet Unity Total others:	kr 800,00 kr 11 700,00	kr 800,00 kr 3 600,00		kr 0,00 kr 8 200,00	kr 0,00	kr 1 200,00	kr 1 300,00	kr 0,00 kr 3 300
	1.1.700,00	0 300,00		11. 0 200,00	11 300,00	1 200,00	Ai 1 000,00	KI 5 500
TOTALT:					kr 1 800,00	kr 1 200,00	kr 89 420,00	kr 92 420
Funds Available:								80000
Will be transferred to the Welfare Fund:								

#### 2158.3 Attachment 3 Content of consultations response for semester structure

Consultation response content

Our consultation response is based on the workshop we arranged during the Student Democracy's Autumn Conference where Ulrik and Ole-Jørgen were present, we have had a input round with the boards of Samfunnet, Samfunnsstyret, UKEstyret, Tuntreet, and Næringslivsutvalget. Furthermore, we have also arranged an open input workshop there Ulrik was present. Furthermore, the case has been up in both Student Parliament 4 and Student Parliament 5.

It should be emphasized that to be able to claim good student inclusion in project work of this type, it is not enough to mention this for the committees at NMBU and leave it with that. Students should be followed up in a different way since students are being replaced every 5 years. Therefore, students do not have the same realistic opportunity to be updated, look into and discuss issus as employees. The involvement that has been seen recently comes from a general lack of student involvement considering these issues.

There are three parameters that the Student Democracy finds strange that they have not been emphasized/ have been emphasized to a small degree.

-

- Student volunteering
- It is understandable that it is difficult to measure student volunteering, but it should still be investigated how the semester structure plays a role here. Volunteering is the driving force behind students' leisure activities. In addition, the students make sure to volunteer hundreds of working hours completely voluntarily to participate in the student democracy, which benefits NMBU a lot. By having the student perspective getting good and well-formulated feedback, NMBU can assert itself as a university and developing their work. To not consider any of the factors that make NMBU different from and surpass other study institutions is a serious mistake.

- Student welfare
- This is a point that cannot be measured quantitatively in a simple way, as it is described in the models. Nevertheless, it correlates with learning outcomes, study quality and study efficiency. With over 6,000 students, it is conceivable that small changes in student welfare can cumulatively mean a lot to NMBU's students.

- Psychosocial environment
- This is probably the most difficult of these three points to measure, but that excuse cannot be used anymore. If the psychosocial is not considered in all major projects and decisions, it will seem extremely subversive to all other work NMBU does in this field. For example, we cannot afford that inadequate assessment of a project cause the "Action Plan for Strengthening the Learning Environment at NMBU" being seen as "green painting". Specifically, a thorough assessment should be made of the effect that several exams have. In addition, one should consider the effect of changed student welfare and access to leisure activities.

- Furthermore, we are critical of the following points:
- The communication and the dissemination of the project has not been sufficient. Students have felt excluded from the processes.
- Students need a break during holidays to be able to do their best when you are at the university.
- That is why the Christmas holidays are important.

- The semester model must be open to exchange and internship. We are therefore critical of having a longer semester.
- With an increased amount of exam periods, it will also lead to an increased amount of stress. This is not good for students' mental health.

#### 457 Criteria:

456

468 469

476 477

480 481

482

483

484 485

487

494 495

- 458 Inclusion requirements:
- 459 Sequential teaching
- Sequential teaching will affect maturation subjects. We get the impression that it is being dismissed
- as an issue we know about, without implement any concrete measures to improve it. Sequential
- teaching also requires that you have full-time students, which to an increasingly lesser extent exists.
- More and more people need to have a job during the year, either next to their studies or during
- holidays. It is bad enough that a new semester structure will have bad consequences for this group of
- students, but there are in addition a smaller number of students who take the studies part-time for
- medical reasons or other health related reasons. This group should in every aspect be included in the assessment.

# Fewer ongoing subjects

- One privilege students want to protect is to be able to take a break from one subject, due to lay down
- more work in another. This maintains the interest for the subject and therefore also the motivation.
- Subjects are usually perceived as having different degrees of difficulty, and then it can be wise to
- work with a simpler subject when one feel frustrated and tired of working with a difficult subject.

  Then you also can retake the course if you begin to feel that the course is not such a big challenge
- Then you also can retake the course if you begin to feel that the course is not such a big challenge anymore.

#### **Short and long course liquidation**

- None of the new proposals provides a good solution for long course liquidation of 5-credits subjects.
- Also 10-credits subjects quickly become more difficult to fit in 3 teaching periods in model 3.

#### **Model in operation**

Where is the assessment from the places where the models are in operation? Why is there no student-to-student communication to compare the structures against each other?

#### **Contrast between models**

486 Framework conditions:

#### **Primary focus**

- This framework condition has been too poorly defined, when all thoughts about why the semester
- structure is being changed has been pushed away. It is perceived as inappropriate to consider primary
- 490 focus as a change for the program offer at NMBU, without thinking about how it contributes to
- helping NMBU in its development. It may therefore appear that it has been a consequential error that
- the project does not consider what consequences this has for students, teachers and other employees
- who have to deal with a new structure.

#### The calendar year

- You lose much of the purpose of holidays, to offer a break, when you set the exam sate a couple of
- weeks after holidays. When planning for more intensity by having block-based teaching, one should
- 498 prioritize to protect these breaks. Another thing that is missing in the assessments is how the
- increased number of exam periods will affect stress and workload for students.
- More even utilization of the academic year
- Change in workload, especially related to long parallels, is a measure for student volunteering. In
- addition, it can be necessary to take smaller breaks due to health reasons. If an intensive working

method is expected, it will be much more difficult to stay up to date with the workload after periods where you have been working less with subjects.

#### Collaboration across faculties and programs

One should look at the funding system to have a more effective impact on collaboration across faculties. A new semester structure will only have a limited effect on the university's ability to deliver collaboration across faculties when systems such as the funding system work against it, intentionally or not.

#### Subject sizes

503

504

505 506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521522

523

524525

526

527

528

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538539

540

541

542

Among students there are cases where subject size, workload, degree of difficulty and credits are not properly related. Here one should look for improvements that can be made.

#### Division of the study day

Subproject timetable structure should be considered more thoroughly by students. The perception is that one sees no positive reasons for the students to expand their everyday study, other than that one may get a much-anticipated increase in time for student democracy and volunteering. All assessments of extension of teaching time are based on room utilization. This is due to an increasing number of students, at the same time as there is a too slow increase in teaching areas. Then you should rather think strategically and limit admission until you have enough areas to provide a realistic and sincere study offer for new students.

#### **Schedule structure**

Same as mentioned above

#### Design kriterium:

#### Økt studentaktiv læring gjennom problembasert læring - Høy prioritet

#### Increased free time for focused research work

Good research benefits students. Here we stand behind the assessments of those who are closest to the research. We also want an assessment of how the new structure will affect research.

#### Design criterion:

#### Increased student-active learning through problem-based learning - High priority

If one wants a new semester structure, one should have decided good alternatives for the final exam. This can be in the form of longitudinal projects that promote problem-based learning.

#### Increased student-active learning through project-based learning- High priority

One is limited in the number of projects, especially those that need maturation at the laboratory, one can manage to complete in one block. This should be considered. In addition, there should be a focus on a review of the use of assessment methods.

# Increased student-active learning through project-based learning in collaboration with society-and business actors - High priority

- We are positive to this and believe that the models in their own way can facilitate this in a good way.
- Increased project-based learning across study programs (interdisciplinary) High priority
- As long as the funding system works against interdisciplinarity, this should be clarified in advance.
- Increased use of sustainability-related projects across the faculties High priority
- Feedback that it should come both project-based teaching and cross-faculty study programs.
- Increased inclusion of students in research projects through representation in the program and subject portfolio High priority
- We are positive about including students in research projects and believe that the models in their own
- way can facilitate this. It is difficult to say anything specific, as the disciplines in each subject have
- different needs.
- Better planning in the use of teaching rooms adapted to variation in teaching methods and
- area-intensive student-active learning methods High priority
- This is something that is incredible efficient for use of buildings. We are unsure how much this has
- to say for the students' dividends. It will be indirectly positive, if this cause a streamlining of the

555	administration, so that they again have more time for students. Models 2 and 3 will increase usage
556	planning.
557	Overall assessment of risks when switching to a new model
558	- Will be based on what was mentioned above if the Student Parliament adopts the case
559	Overall assessment of the possibility of benefit realization
560	- Will be based on what was mentioned above if the Student Parliament adopts the case
561	
562	
563	
564	
565	

# Program of Principles for the Student Parliament at NMBU

Approved on SPx xx.xx.xx, to be revised xx

#### **Community responsibility**

Higher Education is a common good which shall be available to all. The Student Parliament is of the impression that the principle of free higher education is one of the most important factors to ensure this. As such it is deemed unacceptable to introduce any tuition or exclude any given group from the academic community. The Student Parliament regards this principle as a universal right and should as such be included in all higher education institutions worldwide. Knowledge is the most important thing of a modern society and a prerequisite for the democracy. A cornerstone of a democratic society are informed citizens who, through dissent come up with solutions that ensures the common good.

- All shall have equal access to education at NMBU and in the rest of the world.
- NMBU have a social responsibility, that entails to educate and form, as well as contribute to the public debate
- NMBU have a central role in inventing solutions for the current and future challenges, as well as ensuring a sustainable development.

#### Academic freedom and the institutional autonomy

Academic freedom is a prerequisite for that academia shall fulfill its social responsibilities. Researchers have the right to choose their own themes, methods and sources for their scientific work, according to their own professional assessments. The autonomy of the institution is important to support the free and critical research and education. Each institution shall be able to make strategic priorities within the national set framework.

- The student parliament supports the principal of academic freedom
- The Student parliament feels that the autonomy of the institution shall be supported

#### Study quality

Quality in education is important, student active, interdisciplinary learning and a carrier-oriented approach shall be the rule at NMBU. Education and research shall be international, universally available and of high academic quality. Therefore it shall be facilitated for practice and exchange in all study programs at NMBU, together with good academic courses that are offered and curriculum. Furthermore, all programs at NMBU shall have good academic and psychosocial environments.

- Education and every day study life at NMBU shall be of high quality
- The teaching methods shall be research based, forward thinking, relevant, diverse, educational and clarify the connection between theory and practice.

The Student Parliament feel that diversity in the academic society is necessary in order to achieve success and development. Therefore we want it to be launched measures to ensure diversity amongst students and employees at NMBU. It is expected adequate facilitation from all parts involved.

• Diversity in the study and academic community at NMBU must be secured

 Students shall actively participate in the research conducted at our university and research is to be used as a part of the education and teaching. We are of the opinion that research results and public funded publications are something to be available for all, and not a select group. It must therefore be made infrastructures at NMBU to facilitate user friendly systems for this.

• The Student Parliament supports "Open Access" and "Plan S"

 NMBU shall continue to be the sustainability university, and this should be apparent in the apparatus around the students. NMBU, Ås Municipality and SiÅs shall therefore facilitate a environmental friendly operation that ensure a sustainable society. NMBU also have a responsibility to make sure that the sustainability dimension are secured in all study programs, as well as promoting sustainable attitudes from the university.

- NMBU and their collaborators shall have a sustainable operation and business model
- It shall be a relevant sustainability investment in all study programs

#### Welfare

630 To 631 to 632 tin 633 st

To ensure good health and high quality in education it is important that students use their time to study. It is therefore important to increase the financial support of students to achieve full time students. The Student Parliament at NMBU think it is important to raise the support of students above the Norwegian poverty line. This to remove the necessity of a part time job that compromise academic results. A partial goal is therefore to increase the financial support to 1,5 G ( the basic amount in the social funding)

The students financial support must be raised to 1,5G and to be distributes over 11 months

To ensure environmentally friendly transportation and keep NMBU as an attractive study and workplace, the public transportation system must be increased and improved. Transport to and from campus must be better, and the trains should leave the station every 15. minutes between Ås and Oslo.

 $\bullet \quad$  The public transportation must be better to and from Campus  $\mbox{\normalfont\AA} s$ 

All students and employees shall have a good and safe study and work environment.

 There shall be a good and safe study and work environment at NMBU and SiÅs.

 NMBU shall have adequate routines and safe systems for informing about unwanted incidents and harassment

SiÅs shall be the main provider of homes for students at NMBU. It is therefore important to build more student housing. To ensure a high feeling of wellbeing and good health among students, the land lords must ensure a good living environment for the students. SiÅs shall also secure other welfare options like cafeterias and sports at a low cost for students.

- SiÅs will deliver sufficient housing to ensure students experience a good living situation.
- NMBU shall in collaboration with SiÅs and Ås Municipality facilitate for a good student environment and ensure a satisfying student welfare offer.
   All student welfare offers shall be available for both fulltime students and part time
- 659 students

Ås municipality must take their share of the responsibility as a host municipality and secure a quick application process for housing projects and ensure measures to strengthen students' psychological health. It must be ensured that all students have adequate access to general practitioners and options to exercise to ensure a good physical health, and through exercise opportunities.

- The services at the health station must be improved, especially with focus on mental health.
- Ås Municipality shall ensure a serious rental marked, as well as facilitate the opportunity for SiÅs to continue their student housing operation.

#### 2158.5 Attachment 5 Resolution of Vollskogen

#### Resolution - Yes to the conservation of Vollskogen!

In Vollskogen, also known as the Pentagon Forest, it is planned that a residential area will be built. This will cause that the students living in the student city Pentagon lose their local forest, and thus both a good hiking and outdoor area for students will disappear. In addition, we lose a forest with several ecosystem functions. In return, a basis for conflict due to noise is created between the student city and the potential neighbors in Vollskogen.

Development in Vollskogen is conflict-creating for future residents and for students at Pentagon. Students are active at other times of the day than most of Ås' population, at the same time as they have a different noise level on weekends. Consequently, building ordinary housing next to the student city will be very unfortunate, and will cause more noise-related conflicts. We already have several examples of this. Next to Pentagon is the family housing area Kilehagen, where there has been conflict related to noise from student parties at Pentagon. The construction of student housing in Skogveien has also changed the surrounding area in total and caused several neighboring conflicts related to noise. It is not good for future residents in Vollskogen to hear party noise three days a week, and it is also not good for the students to get complaints and the police at the door because they are having a normal student life. Our experiences with noise conflicts between Pentagon and its neighbors indicate that such conflicts will also take place during the development of Vollskogen. Here, only the students can say that they were here first, cf. the Neighbors Act § 2. In addition, the construction period will offer extensive discomfort for all residents in the immediate area, in the form of noise from construction and barriers causing hindrance of using the forest.

The Student Parliament is in principle against the degradation of nature as the biggest threat to biological diversity is change in land. Time after time, housing development is prioritized over vulnerable and important nature, without having an overview of which natural values that will get lost. In Vollskogen, there are several ecosystem services that are worth preserving, such as carbon storage, wind protection and surface water management. This is a forest with the potential to house a greater biodiversity in the future if the forest is preserved. Ås has already degraded several forests and at some point, you have to say stop. In Ås municipality's new municipal plan, it is also clear that there are many other areas close to the city center that are already available for residential purposes, even if we stop the development of Vollskogen. A development of Vollskogen is an irreversible intervention and can never be reversed - then nature will be lost forever.

Vollskogen is frequently used by students and is an important recreational area. When the municipality proposed housing development, this consideration was not considered. The municipality has not investigated whether the forest was used by the students, and hence the students' actual use and need of the forest has not been considered in the planning process. The plans that were presented to the students at the developer's participation meeting in the winter of 2021 showed that the entire forest will be affected, and the outdoor opportunities will in practice disappear. The near presence of a forest is important for public health, because it makes room for relaxation from everyday stress in addition to offering beautiful surroundings. During the pandemic, many institutions, including the Student Parliament, NMBU and Ås municipality, have stated that they are concerned about mental health. A good measure for students' mental health will therefore be to preserve Vollskogen.

Vollskogen will only have one entrance and exit road for car traffic: Lower Pentagon Road. They will share the road with Pentagon student city, where over a thousand students, children

and young people will have it as a school road, at the same time as it will be used as the only road in and out of Vollskogen. At the participation meeting, the developers said that there could be between 1,000 and 2,000 cars a day on this road, which is a large increase from the current level of 300 cars a day. The Student Parliament is therefore concerned about traffic safety for pedestrians and cyclists on the road and is critical against having lower Pentagon Road as the entrance and exit road to Vollskogen.

Vollskogen bears the mark of having previously been a wetland or swamp forest, which has been extensively ditched. Large parts of the forest are today plantation forest, but parts of it still bear the swamp forest character. NMBU may therefore be interested in the forest for educational purposes, as it can be used for restoration ecological projects, as an example. Human development and well-being depend on well-functioning ecosystems, and Vollskogen has the potential to be the perfect educational space for future ecologists.

The Student Parliament at NMBU believes that:

- Ås municipality should say no to development in Vollskogen.
- The Student Board will work actively to ensure that Ås municipality does not allow development in Vollskogen
- One will cooperate with SiÅs and NMBU to preserve Vollskogen
- Active work will be done to ensure that Ås municipality returns Vollskogen to the LNF area (Agriculture, nature, and outdoor area) in the new draft of the municipal plan.
- Active work will be done to get NMBU to buy Vollskogen, to use the forest for restoration ecological projects

#### 2158.6 Attachment 6 SAIH resolution student advocacy seminars

#### Resolution about introducing Students Advocacy Seminar at NMBU

Approved at Student parliament 5 xx.xx.xxxx

The struggle students and academics face in protecting human rights is an important topic to highlight. Recently, Scholars At Risk published their report "Free to Think 2020", in which they registered 341 attacks against higher education between September 2019 and August 2020. These attacks have taken the form of killings, imprisonment, prosecution, travel restrictions and more. Of the 341 attacks, 118 were connected to students and student activism. Additionally, SAIH's latest report "Students by day, rebels by night?" 2 put a spotlight on the alarming criminalization of student activist movements all over the world.

The Student Parliament's long-term strategy 2021-2023 states that "arrangements shall be made for students to have the opportunity to engage in local and global issues, and students should be encouraged to be active world citizens". In line with the strategy, NMBU should implement a yearly Student Advocacy Seminar where students can learn about and show solidarity to student activists around the world who are fighting for democracy, academic freedom and freedom of speech.

The Student Parliament believes that:

night\_SAIHrapport-2021.pdf

 • NMBU should implement a yearly Students Advocacy Seminars The Student Parliament will:

• Actively support the creation of a Student Advocacy Seminar at NMBU

1 Scholars at Risk (2020). Free to Think 2020. Available at: https://www.scholarsatrisk.org/resources/free-to-think-2020/

2SAIH (2021). Students by day, rebels by night?. Available at: https://saih.no/assets/docs/Pol-kampanje-2021/Students-by-day-rebels-by-

Budget Proposal 2022 Student Parliament	B-2022	B-2021	B-2020	B-2019
Student Parliament	B-2022	B-2021	B-2020	B-2019
Free fund NSO	30 000			50 000
		1 500 000	1 500 000	
Grants NMBU	1 590 000	1 590 000	1 590 000	1 370 000
Inclusion funds	250 000	250 000	250 000	250 000
Semester fee	920 000	920 000	920 000	920 000
Total Income	2 790 000	2 760 000	2 760 000	2 590 000
	1 104 000	1 105 405	1 105 000	1.050.000
Salary	1 184 000	1 135 425	1 105 000	1 059 000
Payroll taxes	169 000	158 000	158 000	159 000
Employee insurance	14 000	14 000	14 000	68 000
Total Cost Employees	1 367 000	1 307 425	1 277 000	1 286 000
Course, traning and education, 6710	200 000	190 000	140 000	150 000
Remuneration + Translation, 5330	20 000	27 000	27 000	27 000
Office, 6801	6 000	6 000	6 000	6 000
Operating exp, 7700 expired account	-	5 000	5 000	5 000
Phone/post, 6901/6940	1 000	1 000	1 000	1 000
Marketing etc, 7300	40 000	35 000	35 000	35 000
Travel, repr., 7350 ( hotel/diet)	20 000	44 000	44 000	34 000
NSO fee, Campus Ås	380 000	290 000	290 000	299 200
Student Parliament meetings, 7530	27 000	27 000	27 000	27 000
Travel 7140 (all travel)	14 000	24 000	24 000	24 000
Organisational expenses, 6821	10 000	10 000	10 000	10 000
Total Other Operational Expenses	718 000	659 000	609 000	618 200
Welfare funds, Campus Ås	470 000	469 000	469 000	400 000
Inclusion Funds, NMBU	195 000	195 000	195 000	195 000
Joint Campus initiatives	-			
ISU NMBU	15 000	15000	15000	15000
ESN	25 000	25 000	25 000	25 000
Total Cultural/Social Measures	705 000	704 000	704 000	635 000
Total Operational Expenses	2 790 000	2 670 425	2 590 000	2 539 200
Annual Result	-	89 575	170 000	50 800

Accounting 2020	785
Student Parliament	R-2020
Refunds	
Free fund NSO	40 000
Grants NMBU	1 590 000
Inclusion funds	250 000
Semester fee	470 000
Total Income	2 350 000
Salary	959 241
Payroll taxes	151 632
Employee insurance	12 046
Total Cost Employees	1 122 919
Course, traning and education, 6710	163 383
Remuneration + Translation, 5330	1 955
Office, 6801	7 091
Travel NMBU	9 006
Phone/post, 6901/6940	1 272
Marketing etc, 7300	45 870
Meeting, travel, repr., 7350	6 654
NSO fee, Campus Ås	299 008
Student Parliament meetings, 7530	9 103
Meetings, representation	15 422
Organisational expenses, 6821	6 862
Campus transport	7 615
Total Other Operational Expenses	573 241
Welfare funds, Campus Ås	426 374
Inclusion Funds, NMBU	148 112
Joint Campus initiatives	
ESN NMBU	
ISU NMBU	
Total Cultural/Social Measures	574 486

#### 787 Unjustified furlough from studies 788 789 Today, a student at NMBU can only apply for furlough if you are pregnant, sick, have caring 790 duties, have military service, have demanding positions in student organizations or other 791 special circumstances. In contrast to many other universes in Norway, such as UiO, NTNU, UiB, 792 UiS (see references), where you can apply for unjustified furlough from all study programs, 793 with some exceptions. The difference between justified and unjustified furlough is that 794 documentation is required for justified furlough. If the reason why you want furlough is that 795 you are tired, have lost motivation or need new impulses, then this cannot be documented on 796 paper. 797 By giving students this opportunity, it can give them a valuable experience from working life, 798 gain international experiences or other impulses you do not have the capacity to follow up 799 when studying. Other universities in Norway facilitate that a student shall have the 800 opportunity to do this and offer both justified and unjustified furlough. 801 NMBU should facilitate that a student who are offered a job, an internship or want to travel 802 should be able to take this opportunity. This will also increase the individual's freedom, and the 803 opportunity for personal growth and individual facilitation of the study program. Furthermore, 804 this will make the students more efficient and concentrated in the periods they study. 805 Students at NMBU should have the same rights and opportunities as other students in Norway. 806 The Student Parliament at NMBU believes that: 807 • All students at NMBU shall have the right to unjustified furlough from their studies. 808 809 810 References: 811 **UiB:** https://www.uib.no/student/48750/permisjon-fra-studiene 812 UiO: https://www.uio.no/studier/tilrettelegging-permisjon/permisjon/ 813 NTNU:https://www.ntnu.no/c/document library/get file?uuid=3e03cbc0-89d0-497c-b0ff-814 84ee9fd08acf&groupId=16067 815 UiS: https://www.uis.no/sites/default/files/inline-816 images/atrxTeaO0DT473wdqKkWLz7p6699Sw7jFS75EyhSyyHLBOkanL.pdf 817 818

2158.9 Attachment 9 Unjustified furlough from studies

786

#### 2158.10 Attachment 10 Resolution concerning SiÅs rental prices

#### Resolution concerning SiÅs rental prices

From autumn of 2016 to autumn of 2020, student aid for a basic month (normal study month) has increased by 8.03% - from 7796.25 NOK to 8421.78 NOK. Within the same five-year interval, the University foundation for student life in Ås (SiÅs) has increased the monthly rent for a room in a 16-person residence by 13.51% - from 4070 NOK to 4620 NOK (see attached calculation).

 This is a development that has engendered poorer finances for the students, as the cost level for the students has increased more than the income. It is not only the rental prices that have increased since 2016, so have transport prices, food prices and other products and services. When some actors alone increase prices by 13.51%, they consume almost the entire increase income alone. Overall, this has weakened our purchasing power as students. This is not a sustainable development and can have consequences far beyond the student's budget.

The Student Democracy at NMBU believes that:

 • The rental prices at the University foundation for student life in Ås (SiÅs) should not have a higher percentage increase than the consumer price index (CPI).