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## AGENDA

> EXTRAORDINARY STUDENT PARLIAMENT - 2021, MONDAY 26th of April AT 5:15 PM at Zoom. COMPLETE CASE DOCUMENTS ARE ONLY SENT TO PERMANENT STUDENT REPRESENTATIVES. THE DOCUMENTS ARE ALSO PUBLISHED AT THE STUDENT BOARD WEBSITE AND ON CANVAS:
> http://www.nmbu.no/student/studenttinget
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## 21X1 Constitution

## 21X1.1 Approval of today's agenda and summoning

It was sent out 2 different case documents before this meeting. One on Monday 12.04 and one on Tuesday 13.04. All papers are sent out after the deadline. Do Student Parliament put the last sent document as a base for the approval.
It has been submitted a case for miscellaneous cases. This is in connection to the case about election of external representatives to the SiÅs Board.

The Student Parliament approved the agenda and summoning on the basis of the documents sent out Tuesday 13.04.

## 21X1.2 Approval of the previous meeting report

Reports are uploaded to our homepage (http://www.nmbu.no/student/studenttinget) a week after each Student Parliament Meeting. If you need a paper copy of the report please get in touch with the Student Board at their office (The Clock building)

Protocol from Student Parliament 3 is not completed yet due to the short time period between Student Parliament meetings.
Approval of the protocol from Student Parliament 3 is postponed to the next Student Parliament.

## 21X1.3 Appointment of a Counting Committee

1. David Martens
2. Magnus Dybdahl
3. Tord Kristian Fjellheim Andersen

The counting committee was approved.

## 21X2 Orientation Cases

## 21X2.1 Annual reports

Case responsible: The Student Board
The report can be read at the Student Democracy's space at Canvas, and will also be send to the Student Parliaments representatives by e-mail.

Reports to be presented are:

- The SiÅs Board
- The University Board

The Student Board orientated about their annual report. It will be sent out after the meeting.

## 21X2.2 Evaluation of the new composition of the Student Board Case responsible: The Student Board

Attachment 1: Evaluation of the composition of the Student Board
At ST5 2019, it was decided by the Student Parliament that the Student Board from 01.07.20 shall consist of three committee members who are $100 \%$ free; Leader and two AU members who internally constitute the areas of responsibility between them. The decision states that "after one year, the scheme will be evaluated and raised for the Student Parliament.

The Student Board presented their evaluation of the new Student Board.
The Student Parliament gave feedback.

## 21X3 Decision Cases

## 21X3.1 The new arrangement of allocation of welfare funds Case responsible: The Student Board

## Purpose:

Approve the system of allocation of welfare funds

## Background

This case was first raised by Student Parliament 1 this winter. According to the action plan, the Welfare Fund Scheme will be up for assessment this year. The case was discussed and worked through first in the student councils and further in the Student Parliament. After discussing, it was concluded that most Student Councils are satisfied with the current scheme. It was mentioned that some would like to see more cooperation between the various bodies that distribute money to the organizations.
Various solutions have been considered, and at Student Parliament 1, Student Parliament took a position on a number of questions regarding the scheme for allocating welfare funds.
Moments for discussion were scroll. Whether the student councils wanted to manage parts of the welfare funds and whether it was desirable to have a more comprehensive system for the funds.
Another point for discussion was whether the current scheme where $92 \%$ is distributed in the spring and $8 \%$ in the autumn should be adjusted. A point that emerged after that meeting was also that they wanted to expand the pot that will be distributed in the autumn. These were the shared signals from the Student Parliament. An alternative solution has therefore been proposed, based on this. 60

A comprehensive assessment has been made of the current system, based on the feedback that came from the Student Parliament this winter, and the working committee has concluded that it will not be beneficial to propose other changes to the current system at this time.

Proposal;

- The current scheme for allocating welfare funds is maintained as it is
- The distribution of welfare funds is adjusted from $92 \%$ in the spring and $8 \%$ in the autumn to $80 \%$ in the spring and $20 \%$ in the autumn

The Student Board presented the case.
Clarifying questions were answered.
Discussion were executed.
Vote: 23 representatives eligible to vote.
To vote proposal 1 - «to keep the scheme for allocating welfare funds is maintained as it is», over proposal $2-$ «to change the allocation to $80 \%$ in the spring and $20 \%$ in the fall»
For: 20
To vote proposal 2 - « to change the allocation to $80 \%$ in the spring and $20 \%$ in the fall» over proposal $1-$ «to keep the scheme for allocating welfare funds is maintained as it is», For: 3

Proposal 1 was approved, to keep today's scheme as it is.

## Decision:

The Student Parliament at NMBU has approved to keep the scheme for allocating welfare funds is maintianed as it is.

## 21X3.2 Resolution Equal access to sanitary products at NMBU Case Responsible: Diana Hernandez

Purpose: Approve the resolution Equal access to sanitary products at NMBU

## Background

This resolution is inspired by the resolution A diverse campus proposed by Inna Maria Finnerud and Jardar Lindaas Bringedal. Two important topics: diversity and equality are at the background of this. Therefore, the resolution Equal access to sanitary products at NMBU is presented in collaboration and supported by ISU NMBU and Ås Feministiskestudenter.

According to NMBU's long-term plan for 2021-2023 it is important to ensure a good learning environment. Students must have direct access to everything they need in their everyday student lives. It is observed that restrooms are not equal for all students because hygienic products are not provided and distributed to the same extent for the biological needs of people who menstruate.

Toiletries such as toilet paper and soap are supplied for free at restrooms. However, it is impossible to find free menstrual products (tampons and pads) at NMBU. These products are a
necessity for students who menstruate. Menstruation is a biological function which is impossible to control. Not having free access to tampons and pads can create discomfort, stress and disruption of the academic activities. Therefore, we consider menstrual products a necessity in the school environment. Facilitating those supplies in restrooms will improve the daily student life and contribute to gender equality.

## Proposed decision:

The Student Parliament approves the resolution.
The Student Board presented the case.
Clarifying questions were answered.
The discussion was partially executed.
The Student Board proposes to postpone the case to the next Student Parliament.

## Vote to reopen the agenda:

For: 19
The agenda is open.

## Vote to postpone the case to the next Student Parliament:

For: 19
Mot: 4
Abstinent: 0
The case was postponed to the next Student Parliament.

## 21X4 Discussion Cases

21X4.1 Discuss the semester fee
Case responsible: The Student Board

## Purpose:

Discuss the semester fee at NMBU

## Background:

The Student Board has discussed the semester fee at NMBU, and wants to hear Studentting's opinions about this. The semester fee at NMBU is low compared with similar educational institutions, see table 1 below. The entire fee paid to NMBU goes to SiÅs. At other educational institutions, a share is often also paid to the college / university. At NMBU, the university bears this cost for us.

If we choose to increase the semester fee by NOK 20, this will mean a total semester fee of NOK 490, and it will give approx. NOK 220,000 extra for use as the Student Parliament wishes. An increase of NOK 30 / semester will give a total semester fee of NOK 500 and NOK 330,000 annually.
This is money that, for example, could have gone directly to welfare funds. Depending on how the money is distributed, the spring's grants to teams and associations, for example, could have
been doubled. At NMBU we have a lot of volunteering, and many teams and associations with a lot of activity.

It is important to emphasize that this is entirely up to the Student Parliament. The Student Board wants to hear the Student Parliament's thoughts on the possibilities of the possibly of an increase.

| Institution | Total avgift | Samskipnad |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| UiA | $700,-$ | $485,-$ |
| UiO | $800,-$ | $600,-$ |
| USN | $929,-$ | $550,-$ |
| HiØ | $600,-$ | $370,-$ |
| NTNU | $580,-$ | $580,-$ |
| UiT | $625,-$ | $550,-$ |
| NMBU | $470,-$ | $470,-$ |

## Proposer's proposal for decision:

-The Student Parliament discusses the semester fee
The Student Board presented the case.
The case was discussed.

## 21X5 Elections and appointments

## 21X5.1 Election of external representative to the SiÅs Board Case responsible: Election Committee

## Purpose:

To elect an external representative to the Siås Board. The representative and the substitute hold the position for 2 years. The position goes into effect on July 1, 2021.

## Background:

The SiÅs Board consist of:

- 4 student representatives with 2 substitutes, elected by the Student Parliament
- 1 external representative, elected by the Student Parliament
- 1 representative from the employees in SiÅs
- 1 representative appointed by NMBU

The external representatives role is to contribute their competence to the board.

## Candidates:

Main representative:
Nils Dugstad

## Substitute representatives:

Salman Saeed

The Student Board presented the case. It was two preannounced candidates, one of the candidates have pulled their candidacy. It shall be approved 1 candidate for main representative and one representative for substitute external representative as an appointment.

## Vote:

Request to appoint 2 candidates, 1 for main and 1 for substitute.
For: 23
The appointment of the candidates was approved.

## 21X5.2 Election of the Control Committee

Case responsible: Election Committee

## Purpose:

To elect 2 members to the control committee. This position lasts one year and goes into effect on the 01 st of July 2022.

## Background:

The control committee is to be an advisory organ that is required to report to the Student Parliament if there is a breach of the statutes, regulations, or rules of procedure. The committee is required to attend the Student Parliament - at least two members must be present, and one must be a part of the counting committee at the meeting.

## Candidates:

No preannounced candidates
Lise Benette Hovd Nilsen came forward at the meeting

## Substitute:

No preannounced candidates
The candidate presented herself.

## Vote:

The candidate was elected by acclamation.
The last position was carried on in the future. The election committee is to be continuing to work on finding a candidate. So that the Student Parliament can elect a candidate. The Student Board can assist the election committee.

## 21X6 Miscellaneous

There was a case presented for miscellaneous about the election of external representative to the SiÅs board.
The Student Board presented the case.

The Student Parliament gave feedback.

## 21X7 Meeting evaluation

The meeting was evaluated.
Chairman and The Student Board said their thanks.
The Student Board ended the meeting.

21X8 Attachments

## 21X8.1 Attachment 1 Evaluation of the new composition of the Student Board

At Student Parliament 5 in 2019, it was decided to restructure the organization in the Student Board. Instead of consisting of 6 members, Leader (100\%), Deputy Leader (100\%), Welfare Manager (30\%), International Manager (30\%), Marketing Manager (20\%) and Environment and Research Manager (20\%), The Student Board now consists of 3 members, Leader (100\%) and 2 Members ( $100 \%$ each). In the new scheme, the members were to internally constitute the areas of responsibility between them. It was also decided to evaluate the scheme after one year, which is the purpose of this document.

Two of the current members of the Student Board have previously held positions of 20\% in the Student Board, as Environment and Research Manager and Marketing Manager. These have used their experiences to compare the different structures in this evaluation.
The corona situation has made it more difficult to evaluate this scheme. It has not been a normal situation, and it can be difficult to know whether the workload, division of labor, and in general the work situation can be reflected in a normal year. This is something to keep in mind when reading this evaluation.

At the beginning of the period, there were internally constituted work tasks. This was done on the basis of the Student Board's instructions, and according to which committees, committees and groups AU is represented in. The distribution was made with the proviso that it could be changed during the year if the distribution was to be perceived as skewed.

The distribution of the main area of responsibility was made as follows: The manager was given the managerial responsibility In addition to the responsibility for welfare funds. Member 1 was given responsibility as the leader's deputy, environment, research, study quality and organizational work. Member 2 was given responsibility for marketing and communication, internationalization and the learning environment. The areas of responsibility were perceived as evenly distributed by the members, and did not change during the period.

We have found it easy to relieve each other, coordinate and air matters in the new structure. When everyone sees each other daily and works full time, it is easy to have an ongoing dialogue. There has also been a good overview of what other issues the other members have worked on, and have become well acquainted with them. This has made it easier to collaborate, and to work effectively towards the same goal. For example, you have been able to pass on tasks when one of the members has had a lot to do, that you can collaborate on several projects, and ask each other when you have questions you are wondering about.

If a member of the Student Board has a lot of work tasks for a period of time, it is easier to relieve the person in question by another member making himself / herself available and helping with tasks. This was not possible before, as much more responsibility fell on the chairman and deputy chairman, and the members did not have the time or competence to help with tasks.

## Significant experiences the old Student Board suggested we may experience:

"The majority (5 of 6) in the Student Board believes that a scheme with 3 people full time will be able to strengthen student democracy.

- Have we experienced this? Yes, there is a clearer structure for who can be contacted for various matters, and the AU members have been given more responsibility to follow up various parts of the organization. It is easier to follow up students in the faculties' research committees, the sustainability committee, LMU, student organizations for international students when working full time with these areas of responsibility that were previously parttime.
"It will be easier for AU to meet more often and coordinate tasks"
- Have we experienced this? Yes, especially when you are sitting in the office, it is easier to get quick clarification when you have to make a decision, and it is easier to set meetings throughout the week, since it does not conflict with teaching.
"This will enable the members of the Student Board to spend more time meeting student union representatives and working on projects and campaigns within the framework set by the Student Parliament."
- Have we experienced this? - Absolutely. We have more time to work on our own projects. Challenge: There will be many different focuses at once. Sometimes one area of responsibility is de-prioritized because another takes more time. However, not everything always needs the same amount of attention, so you can distribute it a little as you see the need.
"Having full-time leave also means that work assignments will not conflict with exams or other 194 teaching arrangements."
- Have we experienced this? Yes absolutely. Better contact with employees. More time to make contacts.
"Students do not know as much about the Student Board when they are fewer"
- Have we experienced this? No, we have experienced more inquiries and emails, and more individual students who have contacted. Also distributed responsibility internally on student councils, which means that we have had good contact with.


## Suggestions for measures and follow-up:

We are positive about the way the Student Board is organized now. Nevertheless, it is difficult to assess the composition in just one year, as there are several experiences and issues that may arise after a few years with a new structure. The working year has not been a normal working year either. The situation will probably be quite different when the corona is not the main focus. We want to encourage the Student Parliament and the new Student Board to consider a new evaluation in a few years, when we have even more experience of the new structure.

# 21X8.2 Attachment 2 Resolution Equal access to sanitary products at NMBU 

## Equal access to sanitary products at NMBU

According to the long-term strategy of NMBU for 2021-2023, it is important to ensure a good learning environment and a good daily student life. This means students must have access to everything they need in everyday life in their immediate environment(1)

As students, we spend many hours at university and most of us are likely to eat lunch and use the toilet at university. However, it is observed that restrooms are not equal for all students, because hygiene products are not provided and distributed to the same extent for the biological needs of people who menstruate.

Toiletries such as toilet paper and soap are supplied for free, and students of all genders use them. For people who do not menstruate, their biological needs are met. However, for people who do, it is impossible to find free menstrual products (tampons and pads) at NMBU. The university takes care of the sexual health of students by providing free condoms and chlamydia tests in different locations, but when it comes to products that are a real necessity for students who menstruate, we do not see the same level of assistance.

Menstruation is a biological function which is impossible to control. Some students get their period unexpectedly during the day while being on campus. Not having the supplies we need to have a good environment can create discomfort, stress and disruption of the academic activities. Some students who menstruate need to go back home to get the necessary supplies. Others need to improvise a pad or tampon with a lot of toilet paper to 'solve' the need. Others cannot focus on classes because of the fear of leaking. Therefore, we consider menstrual products a necessity in the school environment.

This initiative is already being undertaken at universities across the globe, such as Purdue University, USA, and SRM University, India. We think NMBU should join in this effort towards gender equality at the university.

Facilitating those supplies in restrooms will improve the daily student life and contribute to gender equality.

392 Therefore, we propose that the Student Parliament adopt the following resolution:
393 Tampons and pads must be provided in restrooms free of cost in every building at NMBU.

