Student Parliament 4 2019



Monday September 09th 2019 TF 102, wing III 17.15-20.30

Minutes

Chairmen: Even August Bremnes Stokke and Lise Benette Hovd Nilsen

Referent: Elisabeth Breiland

<u>AGENDA</u>

STUDENT PARLIAMENT 4 - 2019, MONDAY 09th OF SEPTEMBER AT 5:15 PM, TF102, wing III. COMPLETE CASE DOCUMENTS ARE ONLY SENT TO PERMANENT STUDENT REPRESENTATIVES. THE DOCUMENTS ARE ALSO PUBLISHED AT THE STUDENT BOARD WEBSITE:

http://www.nmbu.no/student/studenttinget

Registration begins at 17:00!

1941 C	ONSTITUTION	4
1941.1 1941.2 1941.3	APPROVAL OF TODAYS AGENDA AND SUMMONING	4 4
1942 O	RIENTATION CASES	4
1942.1 1942.2 1942.3	MINUTES	6 6
1943 D	ECISION CASES	8
1943.1 1943.2	VEGONORM AT NMBUREVISING OF WORKING INSTRUCTION FOR THE CONTROL COMMITTEE	14
1944 D	ISCUSSION CASES	15
1944.1 1944.2	COMPOSITION OF THE STUDENT BOARD	
1945 A	DMINISTRATIVE CASES	22
1945.1 1945.2	ELECTION OF REPRESENTATIVES FOR THE WELFARE FUNDS COMMITTEE	
1946 (OTHER CASES	24
1947 M	EETING EVALUATION	24
1948 A	TTACHMENTS	25
1948.1 1948.2 1948.3	ATTACHMENT 1: GUIDELINES FOR ALLOCATION OF LESSER LMU-MEASURES: ATTACHMENT 2: RESOLUTION: VEGONORM AT NMBU ATTACHMENT 3: CURRENT WORKING INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE CONTROL COMMITTEE	27
1948.3	ATTACHMENT 4: SUGGESTION FOR REVISED WORKING INSTRUCTIONS FOR THE CONTROL COMMITTEE	

1941	Constitution	
1941.1	Approval of todays agenda and summoning Approved without objections.	
1941.2	Approval of the previous meeting report	
Reports are uploaded to our homepage (http://www.nmbu.no/student/studenttinget) a wee after each Student Parliament Meeting. If you need a paper copy of the report please get in touch with the Student Board at their office (The Clock building) Approved without objections.		
1941.3	Appointment of a Counting Committee	
	1. Anne Gladsø Wibe	
	2. Fredrik Forsberg Ellingsen	
	3. Magnus Dybdahl	
1942	Orientation cases	
1942 1942.1	Orientation cases Minutes	
1942.1		
1942.1 The minutes Parliament. This is done minutes will papers from	Minutes	
The minutes Parliament. This is done minutes will papers from Parliament r	Minutes shall be made known to the public within 12.00 the Thursday before Student to get the most updated minutes, and minimize paper usage. Copies of each be printed out and kept at the Student Democracy office, together with the case the current Student Parliament. The reports will be sent on email to the Student	

STUDENT BOARD: Student Bard would like to clarify their decision to repeal the sanctions put on Laget. They have received comments about this decision. It will be brought up with the involved parties again. Would also like to inform about the survey about harassment and bullying. This can be found at NMBU.no. Turns out that there are lecturers and employees that feel bullied by students. This is not how we want it to be. The Student Board think it is important to bring up at Student Parliament, to shine a light to the fact that this goes both ways. The survey shows that students are bullied by employees and employees are bullied by students. The Student Board wants you to think about how you want others to treat the case if

LANDSAM: It says in the Student Board's report that Statsbygg might take over buildings?

STUDENT BOARD: The state owns a lot of the buildings, but NMBU manages them because it's a self-managing university. The government has ordered a report about operations to find out if the state can do it in a cheaper way. The report suggested that Statsbygg should take over NMBU and the five other self-managing universities, and that's where it was stated that NMBU should be the first to go. The state thinks that you can gather intel and have economies of scale because they're good at property management. This report came a few days after SP3, and at the beginning we thought this looked a little scary. It can have great consequences for the operation of the university. NMBU would have to rent buildings from Statsbygg, which might lead to an increase in the price per square meter. NMBU would then have to cut costs somehow. It's quite special at Ås because NMBU has a lot of competence regarding fields, laboratories, agriculture – it's more complex than just office buildings. A workgroup has been set up with NMBU, the veterinary institute and several government departments. The group will make a decision in December.

CHAIRMEN: This might come up at a later SP.

the same had happened to you.

UNIVERSITY BOARD: Our channel is the workgroup. There are many good arguments for why this should NOT happen. You remove our strategy by removing our buildings. The report was poorly done. How will the Student Board work with this moving on?

BIOVIT: Question about the case regarding Laget. Has read Laget's decree. There were 2 criteria that had to be met for them to start receiving money. That they had to distance themselves from conversion therapy and that they distance themselves from discrimination. They never defined what Til Helhet does as conversion therapy. How can you then approve the repeal of the decision?

STUDENT BOARD: The background for the repeal was the answer from Laget – this will be reviewed with the affected parties.

SP considers itself oriented about the minutes.

81 82	1942.2	Measures for bettering the learning environment Case responsible: The Student Board
83		
84	Attachmen	t 1: Guidelines for allocation of lesser LMU-measures
85		
86	Purpose:	
87	The Studen	t Board wants feedback from the Student Parliament on possible measures for
88	bettering th	e learning environment, and encourage students to apply for funds.
89		
90	Backgroun	d:
91	In the "Fran	nework for the Working Year of the Student Parliament" it says that the Student
92	Parliament	is to come up with suggestions for LMU-measures.
93	The measur	res are funded through fees given to the faculties for delayed grading. This means
94	that amoun	t of funds the Learning Environment Committee has to allocate varies from year to
95	year.	
96	The Studen	t Board wants feedback and applications for good measures that can improve the
97	learning en	vironment as a whole at NMBU.
98	The amoun	t the learning environment committee can allocate this year is about 400 000 NOK.
99	The applica	tion deadline is normally October 1st. See attachment for guidelines for allocation.
100 101 102 103 104 105 106	Student Boathis year. To something Student Boathing	t Board presented the case. This has previously been a discussion case, but the ard would rather have a good dialogue with those who are applying. It's a large sum ake it back to your faculties or the Student Councils and check if they have they want funds for. Please send questions or thoughts to the vice president of the ard by e-mail.
107 108 109 110	There were case.	no clarifying questions. Student Parliament considers itself oriented about the
111	1942.3	Orientation about Fadderuka 2019
112 113		Case Responsible: Lish Earnest (Faddergeneral) and Lise Benette Hovd (Secretary for Faddergeneral)
114 115 116 117 118 119	welcomed of signed up. To organization	2019 has now finished for both Campus Ås and Adamstuen. This year, we over a thousand new students to NMBU. About 450 Faddere from every faculty The organization of the week was spearheaded by the Fadderstyret - an nal structure we implemented as a trial project this year. Overall, the Fadderstyret ad of how Fadderuka this year has gone. Here are some major highlights from this

A New Organizational Structure

This new structure was suggested by Toppmøte, who felt the need to make the Fadderuka organization stronger and more resilient due to past experiences. The Fadderstyret consisted of all Faddersjef, SIT, Siås, the Leader of Samfunnet, the International Officer of AU, and the head of security. The leaders of this committee were the Faddergeneral and secretary. Having a committee, instead of simply the faddergeneral working on their own and expected to coordinate between all parties, turned out to be an effective and beneficial system that we feel should be kept. However, communication within the committee should still be improved.

In addition, this year the Faddergeneral appointed a secretary. The secretary was largely in charge of administrative tasks and was also the substitute for Faddergeneral if needed. We found this to be a very beneficial solution to alleviating the large workload that falls on the Faddergeneral, and strongly suggest that a secretary be appointed in the future as well.

Inclusion initiatives

The Fadderstyret wanted Fadderuka to be a place for ALL new students at NMBU. We put a special focus on drinking pressure and appropriate behavior so that no one would feel uncomfortable, and paid special attention to including international students, and the Veterinary students who will be moving to Ås next year.

For international students, we improved the sign-up system and made sure they clearly understood what Fadderuka was. We also made sure they were given a Fadder in their own faculty and asked that they not be separated into individual groups. We are proud that our efforts were largely successful, however we still heard stories of international students being excluded or separated from their Norwegian classmates.

For VET students, we invited them to attend Graskurs del II. We were able to coordinate with Samfunnet to make this happen. Many remained in Ås after matriculation, where they were given a short tour of Samfunnet and campus and joined Realtek for a vors before continuing on to the show. We felt this was a great way for them to get to know the campus they will be moving to next year, which will hopefully contribute to a smooth integration when they move.

We hosted TWO fadderseminar.

One in spring and one the day before Fadderuka as usual. The new seminar in the spring has been as an effort to make the Faddere more prepared and being able to give them more necessary information earlier. The two seminars don't overlap too much in terms of content so therefore it's important Faddere attend both. The first spring seminar was a great success and more people showed up than we could have dreamed of, note that this was in early May.

Knowledge conservation

This year we actively used cloud storage solutions and by the end of the mandate all documents used will be transferred NMBU mails specifically for each faculty and it will all put together in a microsoft team. This will ensure that knowledge conservation becomes more robust for all faculties.

Improvements

Many in the Fadderstyret have expressed that the system for dividing up fadderbarn is stressful. The way we do it now also needs to be changed due to the GDPR security laws. We suggest that a "sign up" system for all new students (like the one we used for International students this year) be developed for next year.

171	Evaluation	1		
172	We have initiated a thorough evaluation process on three levels: Evaluations from the Faddere,			
173	Faddersjef, and all other external actors we worked with. The Fadderbarn will recieve an			
174	evaluation	form from the University later this semester. Results from this evaluation will be		
175	used to for	mulate more suggestions for next year and will be included in overlap information.		
176				
177	Faddere w	ho respond to this evaluation form will receive a diploma in return, as certification		
178	of the effor	ts they've put into it. Faddersjef who respond will receive a certificate.		
179				
180	Overall we	are very happy with how Fadderuka 2019 has gone, and we want to thank all external		
181	organs, inc	cluding the student democracy, for working with us to put on Fadderuka 2019. With no		
182	major injui	ries or serious accidents, and good feedback coming in, we are content and cannot wait		
183		to see the development of Fadderuka at NMBU in the coming years!		
184				
185		Y: During Fadderuka this year there were very many international students		
186	participati	ng, more than previous years. What's most important to point out is the the new way		
187	of organizi	ng Fadderuka. The new Fadderstyret was established so the general wouldn't have		
188	to be alone	. The new structure will be useful in the future.		
189				
190	There were	e no clarifying questions.		
191				
192		BOARD: We really have to tip our hats at the great work the Faddergeneral and the		
193	secretary h	as done. Very good effort!		
194				
195	A gift was \S	given for the good effort.		
196				
197				
198	1943	Decision Cases		
170				
199	1943.1	Vegonorm at NMBU		
200		Case responsible: Tor Grobstk, Tuva Lund, Maria Langhelle		
201				
202				
203	Attachme	nt 2: Resolution of Vegonorm at NMBU.		
204		-		
205	To Student	Parliament		
206	From Student Parliament representatives Tor Grobstok, Tuva Todnem Lund and Maria Langhello			
207	Date 23. au			

VEGONORM AT NMBU

Background:

211 Climate crisis

- 212 In 2015 the global mean temperature increased by over one degree, for the first time in pre-
- 213 industrial times. According to scientists across the world, this will have huge consequences
- 214 for natural ecosystems all over the globe. The world's populations of wild animals have
- already decreased by 60% since 1970¹ and the UN predicts an even more rapid mass-death 215
- going forward.2 216
- 217 The poles are melting, the sea levels are rising, and climate change is leading to more
- 218 extreme weather on a global level. According to numbers from Flyktninghjelpen, in 2016
- 219 there was 8,6 million people fleeing war, while there was 19,2 million people fleeing due to
- 220 natural disasters. In 2010, the UN estimated that approximately 50 million people were
- 221 fleeing due to climate changes³. It is estimated that there will be about 150 million climate
- regfugees by 2050⁴. In comparison there is 43 million people fleeing today due to social and 222
- 223 political reasons⁵.
- 224 There is broad agreement in the scientific community that today's climate changes are man-
- 225 made. The lower the global heating gets, the lower the risk of catastrophic consequences for
- both humans and animals. In 2015 the Paris-agreement was ratified by 195 countries. The 226
- 227 agreement says that we must limit the global heating to under 2 degrees, but stribe for 1.5
- degrees. In October 2018 a report written by 91 scientists from over 40 countries describing 228
- 229 the differences between a global heating of 1,5 and 2 degrees. To keep the heat increase
- beneath 1.5 degrees measures must be taken immediately, but we will have much milder 230
- 231 consequences globally.

232 Terminology: 233

234

240

241

242

235 **Vegonorm:** Vegonorm is a concept where you turn today's meatnorm upside down. It means to introduce plantbased food as the basic alternative instead of meat, as opposed to today's 236 237

system. The possibility of serving meat won't be removed. Meat will still be served to

238 individuals who specifically request it. 239

Discussion:

NMBU as the university of sustainability

- 243 NMBU profiles itself as a university of sustainability and has stated that it is their goal to be
- 244 Norway's leading university on environment.⁶ In an attempt to meet the global challenges
- 245 connected to environment and sustainability, NMBU has decided on an action plan. Among
- 246 other things it is stated that the university's environmental goal is «NMBU wants to charge

¹ https://www.worldwildlife.org/pages/living-planet-report-2018

² https://www.ipbes.net/news/Media-Release-Global-Assessment

³https://web.archive.org/web/20080305011152/http://www.bellona.no/norwegian import area/energi/fossil /klima/40222

⁴ https://www.ssb.no/befolkning/artikler-og-publikasjoner/ attachment/173632?_ts=1458fae8370

⁵ https://www.fn.no/Tema/Flyktninger

⁶ https://www.nmbu.no/om/miljoarbeidet/overordnede-mal

248	the operation of the business» ⁷
249	Too much meat makes the globe boil
250 251 252 253	Norwegian meat consumption has more than doubled during the last 60 years. ⁸ Per today the average meat consumption is far above what is the recommended maximal intake, and Helsedirektoratet recommends a substantial reduction in the amount of meat consumed, seen from a health perspective. ⁹
254 255 256 257 258	From a climate perspective, the meat consumption is also too high. The UN talks about livestock production as one of the greatest climate challenges in the world ¹⁰ , and are clear that we have to reduce today's meat consumption if we are to reach the 1,5-degree-goal in time. ¹¹ This also applies to Norway, where about 90% of the climate gas emissions from Norwegian farming, according to NMBU, stem from livestock production. ¹²
259	NMBU's catering
260 261	During NMBU events it is normal to be served meat as the main alternative. This in spite of the significant climate strain meat production entails.
262 263 264 265 266	It is great that NMBU focuses on sustainability in its teachings, but teaching must also lead to action. NMBU will in the future, to a considerably larger degree than today, have to emphasize sustainability when making purchases and events. This especially applies to cases where more environmentally friendly solutions won't impact economy or quality to a significant extent.
267	Vegonorm at NMBU
268 269 270 271 272 273	Several public institutions and private companies have allerede implemented vegonorm. Vasaloppet ¹³ , the university library at Karolinska Institutet ¹⁴ and the German Department of Climate ¹⁵ are examples of businesses that have elected to either remove meat entirely og make vegetarian the basic alternative, with the possibility of being served meat by request. The city Ghent in Belgia has implemented a vegetarian day that includes only serving vegetarian food on Thursdays at public schools. ¹⁶ A few months ago the city council in
	7 https://www.nmbu.no/om/miljoarbeidet/overordnede-mal 8 https://www.nrk.no/norge/nordmenn-spiser-fortsatt-for-mye-kjott-1.13255682 9 http://www.nordlandsforskning.no/getfile.php/1322042-1523540870/Gr%C3%B8nsj/02-Kj%C3%B8ttforbruk%20og%20selvforsyning%20-Bj%C3%B8rnVidarVangelsten.pdf 10 https://www.unenvironment.org/news-and-stories/story/tackling-worlds-most-urgent-problem-meat 11 http://www.unep.fr/shared/publications/pdf/dtix1262xpa-priorityproductsandmaterials report.pdf 12 http://www.umb.no/statisk/husdyrforsoksmoter/2011/74.pdf 13 https://www.svt.se/nyheter/lokalt/dalarna/vegetarisk-lasagne-2 14 https://kib.ki.se/en/whatsup/news/vegetarian-food-new-norm-kib
	15 https://www.aktuellhallbarhet.se/tyskland-forbjuder-kott-och-fisk-vid-officiella-bjudningar/

<u>europe</u>

274 Amsterdam also decided that all food served by the government in the city shall be 275 vegetarian as long as nothing else has been specifically requested by the individual 276 participants.¹⁷ 277 As a good measure to reduce NMBU's climate gas emissions it is proposed in this resolution 278 that to implement "vegonorm" at NMBU. A vegonorm will put sustainable food production in 279 focus and ensure that the university maintains its sustainability profile, even in catering. It will send a clear message that we take climate change seriously, and that we are willing to act 280 281 to combat it. A vegonorm will not mean removing meat alternatives, but rather make it easier 282 for everyone to eat more plantbased food. Those who still want meat can have this served by 283 request. By implementing meatless meals as the norm at the university the threshold will be 284 lower for everyone, meateaters and planteaters alike, to be served healthy and sustainable 285 food at the university. It might also have a positive effect on people's attitudes and inspire 286 sustainable cooking in every-day-life. **Proposed decision:** 287

- 288 **F1:** The resolution «Vegonorm at Student Parliament and at NMBU» is approved the way it is and is sent to NMBU c/o 289 rektor Sjur Baardsen, and leader for Miljørådet at NMBU 290
- 291 (NMBU's environmental work), Solve Sæbø.
- 292 **F2:** The Student Board is asked to follow-up on the resolution 293 for future events for the Student Parliament, as well as in 294 dialogue with NMBU. 295

296 Best regards,

297

298 **Tor Malnes Grobstok** 299 Tuva Todnem Lund 300 Maria Langhelle

301

302 Ås, 23. august 2019

303 304

Proposed decision from the Student Board:

305 The Student Parliament approves F1 and F2 as put forth by the case responsible. 306

307 308

309

310

311

The case responsible presented the case. NMBU is the university of sustainability. NMBU prioritizes sustainability in their education and that's good, but they have to take measures to reduce emissions in multiple areas. This has been implemented in Sweden and other countries. The wish is that you switch how things are today so that vegetarian is the standard offer. It will

be possible to request meat instead. It should have positive climate effects. This applies to 312

313 events held by NMBU. It won't affect many meals.

¹⁷https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/2019/05/21/amsterdam-serve-vegetarian-food-default-council-events/

- 314 STUDENT BOARD: Oriented about their opinion. The Student Board considers this to be a
- measure that could improve the quality of vegetarian food ordered from for example SiÅs.
- 316 There is no meat norm today.
- 317 The following change has been suggested by KBM:
 - That NMBU introduces that the food order should always consist of 50/50 vegetarian and non-vegetarian alternatives at all events where food is served so it won't be necessary to inform about vegetarian/non-vegetarian preferences beforehand.
 - Go forth as a good example and introduce this arrangement at Student Parliament.
- 322 Clarifying questions:
- 323 LANDSAM: We have discussed this in the Student Council and think it's very good and hope it's
- implemented. SiÅs doesn't have a good website, how can SiÅs change this? They don't have a
- very good selection now and therefore you won't get good quality on what you order today,
- and it doesn't look tempting.
- 327 SiÅs president: SiÅs has an industrial kitchen that adjusts to what the students order. If NMBU
- wants different food, SiÅs will adjust and fix this in the future. They have professional chefs
- that adapt to demand. They are open to dialogue about food.
- 330
- UNIVERSITY BOARD: SiÅs say they are adaptable talk to them.
- 332

319

320

- Faculty round:
- KBM: There was some disagreement because people would like to minimize meat
- consumption, but think it's more important to use local wares than that it is vegetarian. They
- think people should be allowed to choose.
- 337
- 338 LANDSAM: The discussion in the Student Council was that there was no point in there being
- meat in the food if it wasn't environmentally friendly. We want better, more nutritional food,
- instead of just taking meat out of the food, they have to add the right nutrients after that as
- 341 well.
- 342
- 343 BIOVIT: The Student Council was positive to this. Important to focus on better quality and
- amount. We are positive to changing the norm.
- 345
- 346 MINA: The Student Council was positive to this. Thinks the vegetarian options today are no
- 347 good. Wants a better offer if the norm is to be changed.
- 348
- VET: The Student Council was positive to the suggestion but emphasizes the importance of
- 350 using local wares.
- 351
- 352 REALTEK: There was a mixed discussion, some were positive, others not. Better to go for
- sustainability rather than a 50/50 distribution. Some were worried about "meat shame".
- Unsure if it's the right way to get people to eat vegetarian. Thinks this should be investigated
- 355 closer.

356 HH: The Student Council concluded that we support the suggestion, as long as the access to 357 meat isn't removed. If it is approved, it is important that this is communicated clearly to 358 everyone.

359

- 360 Discussion:
- BIOVIT: Very good suggestion from Landsam, and the suggestion from KBM is interesting. It is 361
- important to think about the climate. Plant-based meals would be better. Concerned that the 362
- 363 50/50 version will increase food waste. The vegonorm doesn't remove the possibility of having
- meat meals, you just have to request it in advance. SiÅs aren't great at vegetarian food now. 364
- Thinks this will put pressure on SiÅs to make better vegetarian food. It is up to Student 365
- Parliament to adopt the other suggestion, but we don't think so. 366

367 368

LANDSAM: We have presented an additional suggestion where we ask for more nutritional vegetarian food. Today's vegetarian food is boring and lacks nutrition. Important that the food contains nutrition, and that they don't just remove the meat, but add the right nutrients.

370 371

369

- 372 VET: SiÅs has an industrial kitchen, they'll take care of this with the nutrients in the food.
- Expects that SiÅs gets a better vegetarian food offer. 373

374

375 THE STUDENT BOARD: You order food by preference at SiÅs, you can have what you'd like – You don't have to stick to the online menu.

376 377

> CONTROL COMMITTEE: Reminder that this is a resolution aimed towards NMBU and everything they order, not just what they order from SiÅs.

379 380

378

- 381 Vote:
- There are 2 additions and one suggestion to change the resolution: 382

383

- 384 Suggested addition from VET:
- 385 • It should be sought after to use local produce.

386 387

Suggested addition from LANDSAM:

That NMBU increases the quality of the vegetarian food so that there is good, nutritional 388 389 food.

390 391

Repetition of KBM's suggestion:

392 That NMBU introduces that the food order should always consist of 50/50 vegetarian 393 and non-vegetarian alternatives at all events where food is served so it won't be 394 necessary to inform about vegetarian/non-vegetarian preferences beforehand. 395

• Go forth as a good example and introduce this arrangement at Student Parliament.

396

- 397 There was a vote on KBM's suggestion versus the original resolution.
- 398 The original resolution was approved by the majority vote.

400 401 402	Then there was a vote to implement 2 additions to the resolution. It was unanimously approved that both additions should be implemented in the resolution.		
403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410	Decision: The resolution «Vegonorm at Student Parliament and at NMBU» is approved with the changes from the meeting and is sent to NMBU c/o rektor Sjur Baardsen, and leader for Miljørådet at NMBU (NMBU's environmental work), Solve Sæbø The Student Board is asked to follow-up on the resolution for future events for the Student Parliament, as well as in dialogue with NMBU.		
411 412 413	1943.2 Revising of working instruction for the Control Committee Case responsible The Student Board		
414	Attachment 3: Current instructions		
415	Attachment 4: Suggestion for revised instructions		
416			
417	Background:		
418	In 2016 the Student Parliament founded a control committee. This spring, the Control		
419	Committee took initiative to develop and improve their working instructions, so that the		
420	Control Committee can do better work and help Student Parliament carry out their tasks.		
421			
422	The Control Committee, the president and vice president of the Student Board and the		
423	chairmen had several meetings where they discussed and made a revised instruction that we		
424	think will work better.		
425			
426	Proposed decision:		
427	Student Parliament approves the suggestion for revised instructions for the Control Committe		
428	as put forth in the attachment.		
429			
430	THE STUDENT BOARD: A working group was appointed to work with these instructions. We		
431	would like to ad/explain that the text marked with yellow are changes made to the		
432	instructions. In addition some text is removed. We are going to vote for the document as it is		
433	written in the attachment in the case papers.		
434			
435	There were no clarifying questions.		
436			

437	Faculty round:		
438	The faculties were positive to the revised edition, or the y had no comments.		
439			
440	Votation:		
441	The revised	edition of the Working instructions for the Control Committee was unanimously	
442	approved.		
443			
444	Decision:		
445	Student Parliament approves the suggestion for revised instructions for the Control Committe		
446	as put forth in the attachment.		
447			
448			
449	1944	Discussion Cases	
450	1944.1	Composition of the Student Board	
451		Case responsible: The Student Board	
452	Purpose:		
453 454 455 456 457 458	The Student Board wants the Student Parliament to discuss how the Student Board should be composed. Elements from the discussion should be used as a starting point for a potential decision case at SP5. The Student Board wants the Student Parliament to discuss the advantages and disadvantages with today's structure, with two full-time and four part-time positions. In addition, we want the Student Parliament to discuss a possible new scheme with three full-time positions in the Student Board.		
459	Background	d:	
460 461 462 463	Today, the Student Parliament has three FTEs to distribute between the students in the Student Board. The president and the vice president shall be remunerated 100% and the Student Parliament decides how to distribute the last full-time equivalent. This is regulated by the cooperation agreement between the Student Parliament and University from 2016.		

The other Universities have the following remuneration of the members of the Student Boards (approx. student numbers are given in parentheses):

NTNU (41 000)	6 at 100%
UiO* (28,000)	5 at 100%
UiB (18 000)	4 at 100%
UiT (17 000)	4 at 100%
UiS (12,000)	3 at 100%
OsloMet (21 000)	4 at 100%

UiA (13,000)	2 at 100%, 2 at 50% and 1 at 20%
USN (18,000)	2 at 100%
Nord Universitet (11,000)	3 at 100% and 1 at 20%
NMBU (5,300)	2 at 100%, 2 at 30% and 2 at 20%

* Both student representatives in UiO's University Board are in the Student Board as well. The role and remuneration equals a 100% position.

The Student Board wants the Student Parliament to discuss the advantages and disadvantages of having three full-time positions, as well as discussing the current composition with two full-time and four part-time positions. The elements of the discussion are going to be used in a potential decision case at Student Parliament 5.

A possible arrangement with three full-time positions may be that the Student Board consists of the president and two board members, where the Student Board itself constitutes a vice president and internally distributes work areas. In this case, it may vary which main areas the different members are responsible for.

It is also possible to predefine the roles before the election. The roles could for example be a president, a subject and learning executive, and a welfare and inclusion executive. There could be other combinations of predefined roles that the Student Parliament may see appropriate.

Shortly about the timeframe so that one can envision how this can be done in practice: If the Student Parliament wants a new composition of the Student board with three full-time positions, the Student Parliament will be able approve the new composition at the next Student Parliament (SP5). The positions (as they are today) will be elected at the Constituent SP. The positions will then last for six months. The three new positions will then be elected through the Student election in April, and the students will enter their positions July 1st.

It is important to emphasize that this is just a draft and an idea. The Student Parliament ultimately decides what the composition of the Student Board should be.

STUDENT BOARD: The Student Board would like to be open about his. Wants Student Parliament to decide this in the long run. The Student Board didn't want to influence anyone with their opinions, that's why the Student Board hasn't made any of their arguments. Faculty round:

KBM: The Student Board knows best what works best for them and how this is done at other universities.

LANDSAM: Wasn't discussed in the Student Council.

506 BIOVIT: Doesn't think it's sensible to combine several part-time positions into 1 full-time 507 position. That means fewer opinions when making decisions. Often representatives will work 508 more than 30 and 20 percent. You can't have 1 person doing all these jobs. Better to have more 509 people part-time than full-time.

510 511

MINA: Might be unfortunate to cut everything down to 1 position. The student body at NMBU is smaller than other places where they full-timers. Don't want to lose multiple opinions.

512 513 514

515

VET: The Student Council didn't have any strong opinions. Velferdstinget in Oslo and Akershus has had positive experiences with having few employees when it comes to coordinating meetings.

516 517 518

519

520

523

524

REALTEK: More people are a strength. The Student Council will get behind the Student Board if they think this is a good solution. Suggests that the election should be 1 elected in the fall and 2 in the spring. To have more overlap.

521 522

HH: There were no strong opinions in the Student Council. It depends on how many people want to run and if there are enough of these that would be willing to postpone their studies for a year. Might be more that think it's fine to combine with studies.

525 526

529

530

531

532

Discussion:

527 528

ROOM: Thinks the Student Board will have less capacity with 3 on full-time. It's an unwritten rule that you work more than your percentage when you're in the Student Board. How easy will it be to get people to go for these positions if we get another full-time? Is it easier to get people to run for part-time positions?

We see that everyone thinks this is hard to decide, next round the Student Board should give their assessment in the case presentation.

533 534 535

536

537

538

539

540

STUDENT BOARD: Wants to have an open discussion first. The Student Board thinks it's worth considering. Thinks it can be more efficient to change it to 3 people working full-time when it comes to positions in committees, coordinating meetings, and workload. Will be able to prioritize work instead of studies. Those on part-time experience that they have to prioritize studies over work. And in reference to people working more than their percentage, is that okay? The Student Board will come back with pros and cons at a later time, were trying to influence Student Parliament as little as possible this time.

541 542 543

544 545

546

547

548

LANDSAM: We want the Student Board's opinion on this. We see it as a safety that there is 6 people in the Student Board, because it makes for a more democratic process. That won't happen with few people. It sounds like you need another person in the Student Board, if you're doing this because those on part-time feel like they have too much to do. It would be nice with some pros and cons for such a situation in general. Easier to assess for those who don't know how the Student Board works. How will the responsibility be shared in such a situation? Are there other solutions as well? Like increasing some of the percentages as needed.

549 550 551

REALTEK: What does the Student Board think about dividing the election into 1 in the fall and 2 in the spring?

552 553 554

555

556

STUDENT BOARD: The reason it has been suggested that everyone starts at the same time is to make a team that is established at the same time and becomes a closer team. You could consider doing it differently. That's up to the Student Parliament. In Stayanger there is 1

president and 2 vice presidents. In Bergen there is 1 president and 3 Student Board members that divides their responsibilities inward. You can also decide responsibilities beforehand. Since the elections in 2015 there has usually been 3 candidates for both the full-time positions, except for last year. Other students get positions in other committees if the positions in the Student Board aren't tied to other positions. We will look at all suggestions when this comes up at Student Parliament, anyone can submit suggestions to how the structure should be.

HH: It's good to have a Student Board with more people in it. We think you won't see the ones working 100% as much as those on part-time. Other places where they have 100% positions, they aren't that visible. We should also keep it spread because of large areas. We think it's safe with a combination of 100% and part-time positions. Several Student Board members sit in committees and are secure in their roles. How much will a person on 100% be able to handle?

REALTEK: Could have something to do with the size of NMBU that makes our Student Board more visible because it's not such a big university, which gives us a different connection to the Student Board here.

KBM: Thinks it will be easier to recruit people to defined and different roles. If they are big, undefined roles you could risk having less interested people because they can't relate the roles to their interests.

1944.2

International Students fund

Case responsible: Mehrdad Bayat

Comment from the Student Board: This case was a miscellaneous case at SP3, but was not discussed. It was therefore decided that it should be presented as a discussion case at SP4. Due to few thoughts and comments from the Student Parliament on the matter by mail, the case is presented as intended at SP3.

Purpose:

To discuss how a fund for emergency support for international students should work.

Background:

Except for the EU students who can apply for lånekasse if they work for a minimum of 10 hours per week, international students have no financial support from anywhere. Considering the extreme prices in Norway plus limitation on working hours (non-EU students can just work for 20 hours per week), international students will confront drastic problems if any case of emergency occurs. It is important to have a fund which supports international students at the time of need.

Being categorized in articles, the members of parliament can vote for one of the provisions in each article. Needless to say, if a provision is elected, the other provision is null and void.

Moreover, article 3 is valid if provision b of article 2 be elected by the members

600 Article 1

597

598

599

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

626

627

628

629

- Provision A

 Like the optional payment for SAIH in addition to the semester registration fee, there will be, say, 50 NOK optional payment that is only open to international students which they can decide to pay or not. The money that is collected goes to a fund, where those international students who paid the donation can apply for a loan

- Provision B

 International students can count on the generosity of their Norwegian peers, so the optional payment is open to all NMBU students. However, just international students who paid the donation can apply for a loan

Article 2

Provision A

A bank account will be opened under the name of international students fund and the collected money will be saved there. ISU as an international student union is responsible for the money that is collected, and international students can apply for loans through it. ISU must provide an exhaustive report to the student parliament about the number of loans, amount of each, amount and number of installments that are paid, the amount that is left in the account and any detail about the monetary information related to the fund.

- Provision B

 A body will be established specifically in this regard whose members will be elected by students. This body must provide an exhaustive report, as stated in provision a, about the amount of the money that is collected and spent to student parliament. The member of this body will be elected from international students * and are in position for 1 year**.

* regarding the fact that international students are mostly sharing the same problems and issues, it is better to have them in the body, as they have a better understanding of the problems international students might experience during their study

** regarding the fact that changing the name and access information of an account is a long procedure here in Norway, it is better that member of the body, or at least the one who oversees payments be in a position as long as possible. Therefore, it is better to choose the member of the body or just the one who is in charge of payments from international degree students.

Article 3

Provision A

 If a body established, it can utilize a specific amount of the money that is collected as routine costs of the body. The amount can be set by the student parliament and can increase for, say, 10% annually. The body must, too, provide a report about its costs and expenses to the student parliament.

- Provision B

 If a body established, it can apply, like all the other students' societies, unions, sororities or fraternities for funds for its costs and expenses to the student parliament. The body must, too, provide a report about its costs and expenses to the student parliament

NB: The vote will not lead to a formal decision, but could be referred to later in the work of establishing a fund, should Student Parliament support it.

Case responsible presented the case.

"International students" is not the right terminology for all internationals. Non-EU and EU-students don't have the same challenges. Non-EU-students have to have 125 000,- in an account at all times to get a visa. They can't work for more than 20 hours per week. They don't have financial support from anywhere. International students often experience problems in many areas. Norwegian students have Lånekassen, the internationals don't. International students can't be as active in the student environment because they have to work and therefore can't be as social. Maybe the also feel lonely because they face many challenges in this country.

this country Knows that

Knows that the rules in Norway are tough. If we can make a fund for international students for emergencies and courses they can't take because they can't afford it.

Clarifying questions:

BIOVIT: There are rumours that this can't be done legally.

Control Committee: Case information: We can't demand from SiÅs that they receive money and make such a fund. The Studentsamskipnadslaw says that you can take an amount of money for international aid work, but this doesn't classify as international aid work, since it would only apply to NMBU. We can't demand it from SiÅs, but we can ask nicely.

KBM: What classifies as an emergency?

LANDSAM: For example, accidents where you hurt your teeth, you will be able to apply for support to cover a dentist.

Faculty round:

HH: Hard to make an opinion in this case. There is too little information about for example, what is defined as an emergency. What about a national fund – not just at NMBU.

REALTEK: The Student Council wondered if there was already something like this in Norway?

VET: Mostly has EU-students at VET, haven't experienced the problems mentioned here. Need more information before they can decide since they can't relate.

MINA: Need more information to take a stand. How would this work, who would be in charge of who gets the money? Do other universities do this? Difficult to have a clear opinion on this.

BIOVIT: Sympathises with the international students' situation. Likes the idea of a fund, but the version presented has some flaws. This case should be on a national level. The way things are now, they are positive to something like this, but not the version presented here.

LANDSAM: It's not fully charted what international students have problems with. They have no overview over where they can get support. They need more information about insurance and other things, like is it possible to have sponsored memberships in organisations. At UiT for example, there is a pot for dental care. Maybe we can present this to Ås municipality for support or have them help us take this to a higher level.

KBM: Agrees it was a little unclear, thinks you need more information about how it works before they can take a stand. This is a case where you need strict rules for implementation.

Room: Sympathises with the case. It's not easy for the internationals, but is unsure if this is the right arena to bring it up. 20 hour-work limits are found in all countries in Europe. Would a fund help this? If it's about restrictions on working hours. Personally experienced the same rules in England as a foreigner.

Discussion:

LANDSAM: Not criticizing the 20 hours, that's everywhere. But Non-EU-students don't have the opportunity to apply for Lånekassen if they work more than 10 hours a week, like EU-students can.

HH: Norwegian students have restrictions on what they can earn per year. Doesn't this affect students at other universities as well, shouldn't it be lifted above NMBU?

Room ISU: International students experience many barriers to study in Norway, amongst them needing to have a lot of money just sitting in an account. It is a bigger problem than just at NMBU. The current ISU Board has nothing to do with this proposal. They would like to work

NMBU. The current ISU Board has nothing to do with this proposal. They would like to work with Student Parliament on this. Hopes they won't be pushed aside. Seems like there is a will to do something about this. It's needed, and a good initiative. Upgrade the proposal so it reaches

do something about this. It's needed, and a good initiative. Upgrade the proposal so it reaches further up the chain. Feels this proposal makes ISU some kind of bank. ISU does not have the

720 capacity for that. If they could have someone get paid for it, then maybe that would change

things. Wants there to be a better formulated proposition.

THE STUDENT BOARD: Approved a resolution last year about Visa-expenses. Already working on the financial barriers.

LANDSAM: Attention to article 2, has 2 alternatives- Either ISU can be responsible for this, if not one can establish a new committee for this – so you can decide if it should be paid or not. Who is responsible for this? Wants suggestions from Student Parliament for who can be responsible. The case is presented step-by-step. Either you can approve proposition a or b, if a is decided, b falls away. Emergencies can be defined next Student Parliament. Then you can see if it's okay. Asks everyone to come with ideas and suggestions to help international students have a smaller burden on their shoulders. Wants a closer discussion at another Student Parliament. Can the Student Board discuss this with other student boards when the case is clearer, and maybe gather more student boards that can go to the government?

THE STUDENT BOARD: Suggests that ISU can present this case for NSO. It's not easy to know all the facts in this case. Better if they present the case themselves. Then they can decide on a resolution that will apply to the entire country.

ROOM: Need a student union to take responsibility for this, NSO might have something that can help. Can ISU contact NSO so they can get help there. If they come back here it should be something more that you can work with. Make it impossible to say no to.

ROOM: Good case, we have a high percentage of international students, we have a responsibility to look at this and take it seriously and work with it. Merhdad talked about a fund here at NMBU. Do we want to do this nationally, or only here at NMBU, possible that that's the easiest to fix. We have to figure out what this should support, what rules that should apply. Not necessarily make it a big, national case. Have to establish what rules there are and what there should be.

LANDSAM: Unsure why this ended up on a national level. Wants a pot that can support students here at NMBU. Doesn't want a national one. Hard to say who should be in charge at this time. First you have to decided if there should be a pot, then you can decide who should be in charge for it. We're discussing something that doesn't exist yet.

CHAIRMEN: Trial vote won't happen seeing as everyone wants more clarification before they vote. Suggest that the case responsible co-operates with the international officer in the Student Board until the next Student Parliament, and that you can pick it up again at the next Student Parliament.

1945 Administrative Cases

1945.1 Election of representatives for The Welfare Funds Committee

Case responsible: Election Committee

Purpose:

Elect two representatives for The Welfare Funds Committee

/69	Background	
770 771 772 773	unions and i semester; or	Funds Committee handles applications regarding welfare funds from student makes a proposal for Student Parliament. The committee has one meeting each ne in the autumn to approve the remainder (8%) of the welfare funds, and one long pring to approve the ordinary welfare funds (92%).
775 776 777 778 779 780	Internationa representati representati	tee consists of seven people: the Welfare Officer of the Student Board, the all Officer of the Student Board, the Head of Finance at Samfunnet, one student live from the SiÅs-Board, one earlier member of the committee and two new lives elected at Student Parliament. The position is effective immediately upon lasts for one year.
781	Candidates	:
782 783 784	Camilla Glor Kjersti Rusta	
785 786	No clarifying	g questions.
787 788	The candida	tes presented themselves.
789 790	Votation: The candida	tes were elected by acclamation.
791 792	1945.2	Elections to the Ethics Committee Case responsible: The Election Committee
793	Purpose:	
794 795		main representatives and two substitute representative to the Ethics Committee. as last 1 year, effective immediately.
796	Backgroun	d:
797 798 799	connected to	committee is primarily an advisory committee that gives input on ethical questions or research, teaching, administration, and a driving force in raising the ethical of all employees at NMBU.
300 301 302	contribute t	committee can be assigned tasks, like approving research projects, and shall o ensuring that research ethics are systematically incorporated in the education of sts and candidates in general at NMBU.
303	The commit	tee can treat cases on initiative from students and employees. The position is paid.
304	Candidates	: :
305	Vebjørn G T	hunes

Elisa Cervetti

807	Selma Solliha	gen	
808			
809	The candidate	es presented themselves	
810	Votation:		
811	Vebjørn G Thi	unes og Elisa Cerevetti were elected as main representatives by written election.	
812	Substitutes:		
813 814	Selma Sollihagen og Synne Bergmo are running for substitues. The substitutes will decide who is the substitute for who themselves.		
815	Selma Sollihag	gen og Synne Bergmo were elected as substitues by acclamation.	
816 817 818 819			
820	1946	Other Cases	
821 822 823 824 825	There were no	o other cases for this meeting.	
826	1947	Meeting Evaluation	
827 828 829		oard: Thinks you're doing well, and it's fun to be at it again. Contact the Student ave any questions.	
830 831 832 833	BIOVIT: Wants the chairmen to ask if a faculty round is wanted before they start one. At SP4 and SP1 there are newly elected representatives, so it would be nice if you could start with going through how Student Parliament works.		
834 835 836 837	comments are	repetition. Go through the different signs at the beginning of the meeting, how long and other rules. What about having some kind of interpreter or other solution for to English for those who need it at Student Parliament?	
838 839	The president	of the Student Board ended the meeting.	

Attachments 1948 840 841 Attachment 1: Guidelines for allocation of lesser LMU-measures: 842 1948.1 843 (LMU = Learning environment committee) 844 1. The Student Board and the academic administration market the announcement for 845 applications. The announced amount will vary from year to year depending on how many 846 sanctions (fines) the faculties have received. The yearly deadline for applying is normally October 1st. Should there not be enough applications by that time, there might be a second 847 848 announcement. 849 2. Students, student groups, the Student Board or the faculties' education committees at NMBU 850 can apply to have their suggestions for lesser LMU-measures considered. Applications are 851 sent to the Student Board. The following criteria apply to the applications: 852 a. The project has to improve the learning environment for all or one group of students 853 at NMBU, for example physical measures, ergonomic measures, psychosocial 854 measures etc. 855 b. Suggestions regarding physical measures must be in buildings or outside areas connected to NMBU at Campus Ås or Campus Adamstuen (this does not mean 856 857 Samfunnet, student housing or areas belonging to detached institutions). 858 c. If a suggestion requires follow-up in the form of operating funds, maintenance or 859 cleaning (i.e. computers that require manual operation, software that requires yearly 860 licensing, appliances that require cleaning etc.) it must be cleared with the respective 861 units that will be in charge of follow-up. 862 3. The application needs to explain why the measure should be chosen and what the expected effect is. Costs for the measure should be estimated. 863 864 4. The Student Board ranks the applications together with the property administration and the 865

- academic administration, before sending this to the director of the academic administration which then prepares the final case for LMU.
- 5. LMU decides the final prioritizing of the applications and allocates the funds under the condition that the projects are carried out after the academic administration or other relevant units in the administration/faculties have ensured the quality of the project.
- From 01.01.2018 the fees are calculated by the following model:

866

867

868

869

870

871

872

1. Fees are levied based on the number of business days the grading is delayed.

- 2. The arrangement is calculated as a fine each day pr. student pr. business day. The fee is fixed at 20 kr pr. student pr. business day.
- 3. The fee starts running if graded final papers/exams/theses are not presented by three days past the grading deadline (from day 4), but the fee is calculated from the first day after the grading deadline.
- 4. *Minimum amount for the fee will always be 1000.-.*

- 5. Public holidays and business days between Christmas and New Years Eve are not counted in the model.
- 6. Fees are calculated for courses with written exams, longitudinal exams, renewed test (re-sit exam) and theses.

VEGONORM AT NMBU

Norwegian meat consumption has more than doubled the last 60 years. At the same time as farms are being more and more industrialized, climate change is leading to more extreme weather, the poles are melting, seas are rising, crops are drying up and species are going extinct faster than ever. We are experiencing a climate crisis and have 11 years to reduce our emissions enough to reach the 1,5-degree-goal. Reduced meat consumption alone won't save the globe, but it will be a contribution.

NMBU has a high climate focus. As Norway's university of sustainability, we should lead the university and college sector on more climate friendly paths, even when it comes to catering. Vegonorm will be a natural way of showing that we as a university take the climate crisis seriously and fight for sustainability with our actions – not just our words.

Student Parliament wants:

- NMBU to implement vegonorm at all events where food is served
- To go forth as a good example and implement vegonorm in the Student Parliament

902 1948.3 Attachment 3: Current working instructions for the Control committee 903 904 **Working instructions for the Control Committee** 905 906 Approved at Student Parliament 7, 28.11.2016 907 908 909 Jurisdiction 910 The Control Committee is an advisory committee that reports to the Student Parliament should there 911 be a violation of statutes, guidelines or Rules of Procedure. 912 913 **Composition and duration** 914 The Control Committee consists of a leader and 2 members. The leader does not have a double vote. 915 The committee is elected by the Student Parliament. The leader is elected at Student Parliament 6, 916 while the ordinary members are elected at Student Parliament 3. Members of the Control Committee 917 sit for the duration of 1 year. 918 919 **Tasks** 920 There is compulsory attendance for the Control Committee at Student Parliament meetings, which is 921 considered achieved when at least 2 members of the committee attend. 922 The Control Committee's tasks are: 923 • The Control Committee shall have overall supervision with that statutes, guidelines and Rules 924 of Procedure are followed. 925 • At Student Parliament at least 1/one member of the committee shall be a member of the 926 Counting Committee. 927 • The Control Committee shall deliver a written yearly report to the Student Parliament. This shall be presented as an orientation case at Student Parliament 6. 928 929 • The Control Committee can assist the Student Parliament and the Student Board upon 930 request. 931 932 **Distrust** 933 Should a motion of distrust be presented, the involved parties may request a statement from the 934 Control Committee. This statement can only mention direct violations of the statutes, Rules of 935 Procedure or working instructions approved by the Student Parliament. The Control Committee shall 936 not make assessments of any other nature than whether there have been direct violations or not. 937 938 **Overlap** 939 The sitting Control Committee is responsible for good overlap with the newly elected member of the 940 Control Committee. Overlap amongst other things means having meetings between sitting and newly 941 elected members before the next Student Parliament meeting, meaning that for the member elected at

942 Student Parliament 3 overlap shall be done before Student Parliament 4. For the leader, which is 943 elected at Student Parliament 6, overlap shall be done before Student Parliament 1. The meetings

944 shall be about the practice in the Control Committee as well as an orientation on any relevant cases.

945	
946	Other positions
947	Members of the Control Committee cannot at the same time have positions in the Student
948	Democracy that might impact their impartiality. Members of the committee cannot within the
949	duration of their position sit in the following committees:
950	- Student Board (AU)
951	- Student Parliament (representatives and chairmen)
952	- Faculty Boards
953	- President or vice president of Student Councils
954	- The University Board
955	- The Election Committee
956	- President of the SiÅs-Board
957	
958	Changes to working instructions
959	The working instructions for the Control Committee is approved by the Student Parliament with 2/3
960	majority. Suggestions to change the working instructions must be presented to and approved by the
961	Student Parliament.
962	These working instructions shall be revised 1 year after they have been approved for the first time.
963	
964	

1948.4 Attachment 4: Suggestion for revised Working instructions for the 965 **Control Committee** 966 967 **Working instructions for the Control Committee** 968 969 Revised at Student Parliament 4, 09.09.2019 970 Changes in yellow 971 Jurisdiction 972 The Control Committee is an advisory committee that reports to the Student Parliament should there 973 be a violation of statutes, guidelines or Rules of Procedure. If there is reason to believe there has 974 been a violation of statutes, guidelines or Rules of Procedure the Control Committee can, both by 975 request or by own initiative, investigate. 976 977 **Composition and duration** 978 The Control Committee consists of a leader and 2 members. The leader does not have a double vote. 979 The committee is elected by the Student Parliament. The leader is elected at Student Parliament 6, 980 while the ordinary members are elected at Student Parliament 3. Members of the Control Committee 981 sit for the duration of 1 year. 982 983 **Tasks** 984 The Control Committee's tasks: 985 The Control Committee shall have overall supervision with that statutes, guidelines and Rules 986 of Procedure are followed. 987 • The Control Committee shall attend Student Parliament meetings with at least two (2) 988 members. 989 • At Student Parliament at least 1/one member of the committee shall be a member of the 990 Counting Committee. 991 • The Control Committee shall deliver a written yearly report to the Student Parliament. This 992 shall be presented as an orientation case at Student Parliament 6. 993 • The Control Committee can assist other organs in the student democracy upon request. 994 • The Control Committee shall be represented by at least one (1) member at Student Council 995 dinners. 996 Before Student Parliament meetings the Control Committee can have meetings with AU, and 997 potentially the chairmen, to review cases. 998 999 **Distrust** 1000 Should a motion of distrust be presented, the involved parties may request a statement from the 1001 Control Committee. This statement can only mention direct violations of the statutes, Rules of 1002 Procedure or working instructions approved by the Student Parliament. The Control Committee shall 1003 not make assessments of any other nature than whether there have been direct violations or not. 1004 1005 **Overlap**

The vice president of the Student Board is responsible for making sure that the newly elected

members of the Control Committee have overlap. Overlap amongst other things means having

1006

1008	meetings between sitting and newly elected members before the next Student Parliament meeting.
1009	The meetings shall be about the practice in the Control Committee as well as an orientation on any
1010	relevant cases.
1011	
1012	Other positions
1013	Members of the Control Committee cannot at the same time have positions in the Student
1014	Democracy that might impact their impartiality. Members of the committee cannot within the
1015	duration of their position sit in the following committees:
1016	- Student Board (AU)
1017	- Student Parliament (representatives and chairmen)
1018	- The University Board
1019	- The Election Committee
1020	- President of the SiÅs-Board
1021	
1022	Changes to working instructions
1023	The working instructions for the Control Committee is approved by the Student Parliament by a
1024	qualified majority. Suggestions to change the working instructions must be presented to and
1025	approved by the Student Parliament.
1026	

ST4 09.09.19

Oppmøteliste ST			20.			
<u>Fakultet</u>	Studenttingsrepresentanter	<u>ST 4</u>	<u>ST 5</u>	<u>ST 6</u>	Konst ST	Ka
НН	Mathilde Wingeng	X				
нн	Camilla Glomsås	X	Y			
НН	Hedda Ringnes	X				
НН	Oline Brekke	X				
RealTek	Kristine Bøe	X				
RealTek	Tuva Lund	X				
RealTek	Aina Hustveit ma Mane Salac	X				
RealTek	Kjersti Rustad Kvisberg	X				
RealTek	Jørgen Waagen	X				
VET	August Reiserstad Haugen 、	K				
VET	Ida Beate Løken	X				
VET	Ruth Dyngeland	X				
KBM	Marie Stensvold	X				
KBM	Linn Zetterberg Huser	X				
KBM	Kristine Kippersrud Brokstad	X				
LandSam	Maria Langhelle	X.				
LandSam	Mehrdad Bayat	X				
LandSam	Ida Smith	X				
LandSam	Ina Finnerud					
MINA	Julie Hinderaker Hagevik			123		
MINA	Kjersti Bach Moholt	X				
MINA	Aurora Hansen	×				
BioVit	Tor Grobstok	X			9	
BioVit	David Martens	X				
BioVit	Oda Braar Wæge	X				
SiÅs	Ole Golten	X			T	
3	Fredrik Forberg Ellingsen	X				
US	Benedikt Goodman	X				
	Nina Vold Johansen	X				
AU	Tord Hauge	X			-	_
AU	Ane Nygård Magnussen	X				
	Ragnhild Gabrielsen	_				\vdash
	Elina Lungrin	×				\vdash
	Henriette Vågen Aase	X				\vdash
	Magnus Dybdahl	7	1			\vdash
	Wagnus Dybuani					T
ISU	leder	X				
Kontrollkom	Kristoffer H Hagen	N			-	\vdash
Kontronkom	Anne Gladsø Wibe	V				+
	Andrea Sønsterud Jansen	7	-		+	
	Andrea sønsterdd Jansen					_

VEGONORM AT NMBU

Approved at Student Parliament 4, 09.09.2019

1029 1030 1031

1032 1033

1034

1035

1028

Norwegian meat consumption has more than doubled the last 60 years. At the same time as farms are being more and more industrialized, climate change is leading to more extreme weather, the poles are melting, seas are rising, crops are drying up and species are going extinct faster than ever. We are experiencing a climate crisis and have 11 years to reduce our emissions enough to reach the 1,5-degree-goal. Reduced meat consumption alone won't save the globe, but it will be a contribution.

1036 1037 1038

1039

1040

NMBU has a high climate focus. As Norway's university of sustainability, we should lead the university and college sector on more climate friendly paths, even when it comes to catering. Vegonorm will be a natural way of showing that we as a university take the climate crisis seriously and fight for sustainability with our actions – not just our words.

1041 1042 1043

Student Parliament wants:

1044

NMBU to implement vegonorm at all events where food is served

1045

• It should be sought after to use local produce.

1046 1047 • That NMBU increases the quality of the vegetarian food so that there is good, nutritional food.

• To go forth as a good example and implement vegonorm in the Student Parliament