The University Board has the following comments:

- When establishing a new academic organisational model, it is important to both retain and further develop existing brand names.
- The new academic organisational model better facilitates synergies and collaboration than the current model.
- Once the result of the business school's accreditation process is available, the Board will recommend that the organisational affiliation be studied in more detail. The strategy for the accreditation process shall be approved by the Rector by the end of 2016
- A consideration can be made of whether to make internal incentive funding available for the merged units and for collaboration projects
- The names of the faculties are provisional

Sørlie and Haug presented the following proposal for item 2:

The presented model for academic organisation is adopted, with the exception that the Department of Landscape Architecture and Spatial Planning (ILP) and the Department of International Environment and Development Studies (Noragric) will remain two separate units. In other words, there will be eight units at level two.

Voting:

The Board unanimously approves item 1 in the Rector's recommendation.

Sørlie and Haug's proposal for item 2 failed by two (Sørlie and Haug) to nine votes.

The Rector's recommendation for item 2 was subsequently adopted by 10 to 1 votes (Haug).

Consequently, the decision is as follows:

- 1. The number of governance levels is changed from three to two, with units at level two referred to as faculties
- 2. The model of academic organisation presented, with seven faculties, is adopted.
- 3. The date adopted for these decisions to come into force is 01/01/2017.
- 4. The Rector implements an evaluation of the process for academic and administrative organisation

62/2016 Governance and management. Governance and management regulations

Ruth Haug presented the following proposal for the composition of the faculty board:

- 1. The dean is the chair of the faculty board (and the dean is elected)
- 2. Three permanent academic representatives on the faculty board
- 3. Two external members of the faculty board
- 4. Composition otherwise as in the Rector's proposal

Test vote:

About the recruitment of a dean: three (Haug, Kielland, Langseth) voted that the dean should be elected (Haug's proposal). The other eight voted that the dean should be appointed (Rector's proposal).

About the chair of the faculty board: two (Haug, Bakøy) voted that the faculty board should be chaired by the dean (Haug's proposal). The other nine voted that the faculty board should have an external chair (Rector's proposal).

About external board members on the faculty board: three (Haug, Sørlie, Kielland) voted that there should be two external members of the faculty board (Haug's proposal). The other eight voted that the faculty board should have three external members (Rector's proposal).

Adopted:

In the final vote, the Rector's proposal for governance and management regulations for a hired Rector model for the period from 01/01/2017 was adopted by 10 to 1 (Haug) votes.

Additionally, the following decisions were adopted:

- a) The University Board does not make appointments for positions other than the Rector, Pro-rectors and Administration Director, unless otherwise stipulated in laws or regulations.
- b) An external chair of the faculty board is appointed by the University Board on the recommendation of the Rector.
- c) The faculty board reports to the Rector.
- d) The University Board authorises and requires the Rector to make appropriate transitional schemes

The governance and management regulations are enclosed with the protocol.