# Student Parliament 1 2017 



## Student Parliament is open for everyone, welcome!

Monday February 13th 2017 Kl.17.15-kl.21.00 TF 102, Wing III

## AGENDA

> STUDENT PARLIAMENT 1-2017, MONDAY 13th OF FEBRUARY AT 5:15PM, TF102, wing III. COMPLETE CASE DOCUMENTS ARE ONLY SENT TO PERMANENT STUDENT REPRESENTATIVES. THE DOCUMENTS ARE ALSO PUBLISHED AT CLASSFRONTER AND THE STUDENT BOARD WEBSITE: http://www.nmbu.no/student/studenttinget

Registration begins at 17:00!
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1711 Constitution
1711.1 Approval of todays agenda and summoning
1711.2 Approval of the previous meeting report
Reports are uploaded to our homepage (http://www.nmbu.no/student/studenttinget) as wellas the Student Democracy room on ClassFronter a week after each Student ParliamentMeeting. If you need a paper copy of the report please get in touch with the Student Boardat their office (next to the student post-boxes)
1711.3 Appointment of a Counting Committee
1.
2.
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## 1712 Orientation cases

### 1712.1 Minutes

The minutes shall be put directly into the Student Democracy room at Fronter (Class
Fronter) within 12.00 the Wednesday before Student Parliament.
This is done to get the most updated minutes, and minimize paper usage. Copies of each minutes will be printed out and kept at the Student Democracy office, together with the case papers from the current Student Parliament.
Those who report to the Student Parliament through minutes are:

- The Student Board (AU)
- The University Board (US)
- The Education Board (SU)
- Student Welfare Organization in Ås (SiÅs)
- Student and Academics international helping fund (SAIH)
- International Student Union (ISU)
- The Research Board (FU)
- The Learning Environment Committee (LMU)


## 1713 Decision Cases

$1713.1 \quad$ Authority to approve the accounting for applications for welfare funds based on last year's rules.

Case responsible: Tord Hauge

## Purpose:

Give welfare officer authority to approve the accounting based on last year's rules.

## Background:

At Student Parliament 6 new rules and regulations for allocation of welfare funds were voted in. These require more strict requirements for the accounting keeping. For the teams and associations to get approve applications for welfare funds in 2017, they will have to submit accounting for the activities they got welfare funds for 2016. Teams and associations, which were granted applications in 2016, were based on previous regulations, and it will not be right to require that teams and associations must submit accounting based on the new regulations.

An example is that in the former regulations, the receipt requirement was only on any single amount over 1000 kr whereas now it is receipt requirements on all over amounts over 500kr. This will only apply now in the transition phase, and Welfare Officer will work to ensure that the transition to the new rules should go quickest and the best possible way.

## Proposed resolution:

Student Parliament approves that the welfare officer is authorized to approve the accounting of applications for welfare funds in 2017 based on the regulations for 2016.

### 1713.2 Extension of the mandate for "Committee for amendment of Statues for Student Parliament"

Case responsible: Committee for amendment of Statues for Student Parliament.

## Purpose:

The purpose of the case is to formally extend the committee's mandate to include proposed amendments to the "Rules of Procedure and Agenda for the Student Parliament at NMBU".

## Background:

The Constituent Student Parliament appointed the "Committee for amendment of Statues for Student Parliament" on the 28/11/16. During the case processing, there was submitted additional proposals that the committee should also look at the Rules of Procedure and Agenda. The Committee has considered the proposal and concluded that it has the capacity and desire to see the two together. The Committee therefore wishes that this is also formally included in the mandate.

## Proposed resolution:

Student Parliament approves the following additional mandate: "Committee for amendment of Statues for Student Parliament" is given the mandate to consider and propose amendments to "Rules of Procedure and Agenda for the Student Parliament at NMBU" and promote this as a separate resolution case to Student Parliament 2.

The Student Board recommends the proposed resolution.

## 1714 Discussion Cases

### 1714.1 Proposed amendments of the Student Democracies Statues at NMBU

## Case responsible: Committee for amendments of Statues for Student Parliament

## Attachments:

Attachment 1; Statues for the Student Democracy at NMBU

## Purpose:

The purpose of this case is to facilitate a constructive discussion around the Statutes. The case is intended to provide the Committee with useful contributions from students in the further work towards a resolution case that is scheduled to be presented at the Student Parliament 2 in line with the mandate given by the Constituent Student Parliament on the 28/11/16.

## Background:

The Committee has so far held four meetings and worked through the means of internet after the Constituent Student Parliament chose the committee. The Committee has concluded that it is appropriate to look at Statutes and "Rules for Procedures and Agenda" in context with each other, and therefore wishes to suggest changes also to those in line with questions and additional suggestions raised during the consideration of the mandate at the Student Parliament.
To a certain degree the cases are hanging together, and it is important to bear in mind that changes in one may cause changes in the other. Nevertheless, the Committee believes that it is most appropriate to treat this two as separate cases, as they are fundamentally different. There are stricter requirements for amendments to the Statutes, than to the Rules for Procedures and Agenda. In addition, in accordance with the mandate has been asked to primarily focus at the Statues.

Committee had a relatively broad approach to the topic and discussed many aspects of the Statute.

## These can be divided into the following categories:

- Formalities and changes as a result of reorganization.
- Items that are currently left out/ not included in the Statutes: Control Committee, Election Committee, electronic decisions, impartiality etc.
- Policy changes: the use of a simple majority, the right to change the regulations, Student Board as recommended body, temporary place resignation etc.
- The relationship between the Statutes and Rules of procedure -and Agenda, as well as other instructions.
- All categories have their own challenges, and the committee is open to input in all areas, but primarily wishes discussion concentrated around, what here is called for, "policy
changes" as well as some things that are left out or not specified in the Statutes, as these are expected to create most of the debate.


## - Moments for the discussion:

Below are few point with relevant reference to the paragraphs from the. In addition, a few points that are currently not covered by the statutes.

## - Access to hold a position:

This factor is about whether you want to require that the person is registered as a student throughout positions period, or whether it is sufficient to be registered as a student at the time of the election. In the § 1.2 it says "All students with valid semester registration at NMBU can hold positions in the Student Democracy".
This can be interpreted so that one must be a student throughout the whole period. This will exclude some students from running for the positions, especially in cases where the choice extends over a calendar year and thus is not synchronized with the academic year. On the other hand, it is usually an advantage if the person is part of the University.

## - Scope:

Chapter 1 of the Statute currently concerns the purposes and intentions, but not the scope. The Committee considers it appropriate that the scope is defined in general and referred to in the Statues. The Committee also seeks input from the Student Parliament onto which organs the scope should apply. Today the Statues that Student Parliament approves, apply to the whole student democracy. One moment for the discussion can be the power separation between the Student Parliament and the other student bodies. As such, should Student Parliament adopt instructions for Student Councils, as the practice is today?

## - Majority Regulations:

This point touches on a number of paragraphs. Generally Committee wishes a discussion about the use of "simple majority" (A simple majority we say that the proposal that gets the most votes is adopted) of the Statute. This can often seem to be at odds with the practice of Student Parliament, where the reality is that one often operates with "simple majority" (Simple majority will say that the proposal that receives more than $50 \%$ of the votes castes is adopted). The committee wants a debate on this and other paragraphs requiring different forms of majority.

## - Translation and use of English:

This point goes to whether the Student Parliament wants to vote in a paragraph into the Statutes that the case papers are translated into English and submitted at the same time as the Norwegian case papers (cf. § 3-4). It has already been adopted and incorporated in the "Rules for Procedure and Agenda" that all the case papers are to be translated. An amendment will further enhance this requirement.

The Committee would also like Student Parliament to discuss on how the language policy should be practiced in the Student Democracy. Should English be equated with the Norwegian? How should English and Norwegian be treated as spoken language / work language? What should be translated and how?

- The recommending body:

Currently it is the Student Board is the recommending body in all matters except for electoral matters. The Committee would like input from the Student Parliament on whether this is appropriate (see § 4-1).

## - Minutes Duty and orientation duty:

Today, a number of members elected by the Student Parliament to central committees and councils have a reporting duty to the Student Parliament. From who and what does the Student Parliament want a written report and/or oral briefing from? (Cf. §5-3, last paragraph and Agenda item 2).

## - Access to amendments of the Statues:

Committee sees the need to change the chapter 9 of the Statute. The Committee therefore wants input from the Student Parliament whether paragraph 2.9 is appropriate. Committee wants input on both the majority regulations and whether it should be possible to promote amendments effective immediately. Related to the discussion of amendments, the Committee wishes that there be a discussion about the opportunity to overrule its own statutes during the meeting.

## - Impartiality and (temporary) place resignation:

Currently the questions of impartiality or place resignation is not part of the statutes.

> "At en person er "inhabil" eller "ugild" innebærer at det foreligger omstendigheter som er egnet til å svekke tilliten til hans eller hennes upartiskhet. Hvis slike forehold foreligger, forutsetter reglene om habilitet at vedkommende ikke skal delta i behandlingen og i avgjørelsen av den konkrete saken. "Habil" vil si at vedkommende ikke er i en slik situasjon." Regler for habilitet er beskrevet i forvaltningsloven kapittel II. (Kilde: https://lovdata.no/artikkel/regler_om_habilitet/55)
> "That a person is" incompetent "or "unfit" means that there are circumstances that are likely to undermine trust in his or her impartiality. If such circumstances exist, the regulations of impartially suggest that the subject cannot participate in the consideration and decision of the particular case."Habil" means that the person is not in that situation". "Rules for impartiality are described in the Administration chapter II. (Source:
> https://lovdata.no/artikkel/regler om habilitet/55)

The Committee considers it appropriate that impartiality is taken in and is asking for input on how this can be resolved. As part of this questions about place resignation and temporary place resignation during consideration of specific cases would be a natural discussion.

## - Selection Committee:

The committee wants a discussion on whether the members of the Election Committee may hold other positions in the Student Democracy. Currently, the only restriction is that members of the Election Committee may not run for the election in their active period. The Committee therefore wants that impartiality is taken into consideration when this point is discussed. In particular, the Committee wishes a debate on to which extent the Student parliament wishes that one sits both as the Student Parliament representative and as a member of the Elections Committee when it is the Election Committee that propose choices they should vote on.

## - Other points:

Other points for discussion that were not previously specified by the case.

# 1714.2 Proposed amendments to Rules of Procedure and Agenda for the Student Parliament at NMBU. 

## Case responsible: Committee for amendments of Statues for Student Parliament

## Attachments:

Attachment 2; Rules of Procedure and Agenda for the Student Parliament.

## Purpose:

The purpose behind the case is to generate debate and input around amendments to the Rules of Procedure and Agenda. The Committee considers it is appropriate that this is processed simultaneously with Statue Amendments to ensure consistency between the documents.

## Background:

The Committee has considered the addition proposal raised during the Constituent Student Parliament, and found that the committee has the capacity and wants to introduce this as a separate case. The Committee therefore also means that it is natural to introduce this is a discussion case for the Student Parliament with the intention of introducing a resolution case for Student Parliament 2.

Statute defines regulations for student democracy at a superior level, while "Rules of procedure and agenda" increasingly focuses on the more specific rules surrounding the implementation of the Student Parliament. Treating two such comprehensive issues requires both the Committee and the Student Parliament. Nevertheless, committee means it is appropriate that these two cases are reviewed and processed simultaneously. This also implies that the cases should be read together.

There are a number of possible discussion points in such a case. We have chosen to make a selection, but of course are open to suggestions on other elements than those mentioned. A part of the points for discussion may seem relatively open. This is done intentionally in order to not lead the discussion too much at this stage.

## Discussion points:

## - Access to submitting cases

Practice around submitting cases does not come forward in a good way means the committee. This case must be seen in the context with the discussion point "recommending body" in the case of the proposed amendments.

## - Practice around case procedures at Student Parliament

The Committee sees a need to change practice around case procedures under the Student Parliament. We therefore want input from student parliament representatives how this desired to be practiced. Point that deal with the current practice are 2.5, 2.6, 2.7, 2.8 and 2.12. The Committee recommends that you read these points especially as a background for this discussion and to which extent those reflect the current practice and what the Student Parliament means is appropriate in the future.

- Submitting an application to leave the meeting before the normal time.

The Committee considers it appropriate to create better procedures for exiting the meeting so that it can be recorded properly and the threshold to leave the meeting before the normal time will be higher. The Committee would therefore like input around the practice round for leaving the meeting.

- Language in accordance with 2:13 in Rules of Procedure

Given the earlier discussion considering the language in the Statutes, committee also wants input on point 2.13 in the Rules of Procedure. This point deals with the linguistic requirements for student parliament representatives and how translation should be done in practice at the Student Parliament.

## - Place Resignation

Per today there is nothing about the place resignation in neither Rules of Procedure nor in Agenda. The Committee therefore wants input on a possible practical implementation of this. The discussion must be seen in context with previous discussion regarding place resignation in the discussion for amendments point "impartiality and (temporary) place resignation".

## - Number of Type cases

Discuss to which extent the type cases that are today are appropriate in terms of both: number and function. Agenda currently operates with seven different types of cases. Committee wants input on what Student Parliaments sees as appropriate types of cases, their definition, constraints and challenges in today's agenda.

## - Other points

## 1715 Administrative Cases

### 1715.1 Election of Control Committee

## Case responsible: Election Committee

## Attachments:

Attachment 3; Work instructions for the Control Committee.

## Purpose:

Choosing three representatives to the Control Committee, 1 leader and 2 members.

## Background:

The work instructions for the Control Committee was voted in at the Student Parliament 7, autumn 2016. Members of the committee are to be elected now. The work instructions says that the leader will be elected at Student Parliament 6, and the other members will be elected at Student Parliament 3. For the committee to get started with the work as soon as possible we see it, as appropriate to select all three members at Student Parliament 1. The leader will be elected, and will sit for one year. That is, until Student Parliament 6. The other members will sitt until the end of spring semesters, which will say until the Student
Parliament 3. At the Student Parliament 3 we will elect the two new members who will sit for 1 year.

Work instructions for the committee is up for revision at Student Parliament 6 in 2017, and the Committee shall submit an evaluation of the trial period for 2017.

Candidates:

- Hans-Kristian Hartvig


# 1715.2 Elections Committee for the Best Lecturer of the Semester 

## Case preparation: Election Committee

## Purpose:

Elect candidates to select best lecturers of the semester as part of the committee

## Background:

Every semester students will be voting for best lecturer. The purpose of this award is to encourage lecturers to give outstanding lectures therefore providing an opportunity to reward excellence in teaching. This award is an example of how NMBU works toward fostering an environment of academic excellence. Voting is open to all students from both campuses.

The Committee of the Best Lecturer of Semester consist of four students who will hold the position for a year. The candidates are elected on ST 1 Feb 13 2017. A gender-balanced committee would be preferred in accordance with the Gender Equality Act section 21. The Committee members are responsible for promoting and encouraging students to vote or/and nominate lecturers. Committee members will also review submissions of lecturer candidates, attend lectures of potential candidates and then finally decide on a winner. It is up to the members of the committee to meet and work at their own convenience. The committee will also be assisted by the Vice-President of the Student Board.

### 1715.3 Election Replacement of representative in the welfare funds committee

Case responsible: Election committee

## Intention:

To replace Tord Hauge in the welfare funds committee.

## Background:

At the constituting student parliament in 2016, Tord Hauge was elected welfare officer in the Student Board. He is already a member of the committee for distribution of welfare funds and as such will hold two seats in this committee. To rectify this, the position is up for re-election. The position is effective immediately upon election, and lasts until Student Parliament 4, 2017.

## Presentation of position from Student Parliament 4, 2016:

The Welfare Funds Committee handles applications regarding welfare funds from student unions and makes a proposal for Student Parliament. The Committee meets in autumn to approve the first share of Welfare Funds ( $8 \%$ ) and in spring to approve the rest ( $92 \%$ ). The

Committee meets 1-2 times before Student Parliament 5 and 1-2 times before Student Parliament 2. The meetings usually last for 8 hours. The welfare funds contribute to the student environment and culture at Ås. It is exciting to gain some insight to the coming year by reviewing student union activities, and contribute to a fantastic year! The Committee consist of 7 people in total; the Welfare Officer at the Student Board, the International Officer at the Student Board, the Economic responsible from the Community Board, 1 student representative from SiÅs-Board, 1 earlier member of the Committee and 2 new representatives elected at Student Parliament.

## 1716 Other Cases

## 1717 Meeting Evaluation

# Attachment 1 Statutes for the Student Democracy at NMBU <br> Proposal approved at Student Parliament 7, November28 2016 

## Chapter 1: Purpose and Intentions

## § 1-1 Purpose

The Student Democracy at NMBU has the purpose of safeguarding the interests of the students, and to present students' opinions to the institution and welfare organization.

## § 1-2 Eligibility for Student Democracy

All students with valid semester registration at NMBU can hold positions in the Student Democracy

## § 1-3 Membership to the National Student Organization

The Student Democracy at NMBU is a member of the Norwegian Student Organization (NSO). Withdrawal must follow the statutes of NSO.

## Chapter 2: Structure of the Student Democracy at NMBU

## § 2-1 At the Central Level

The highest democratic organ of the Student Democracy at NMBU is the Student Parliament. The daily work of the Student Parliament is carried out by the Student Parliament's Student Board.
The Structure of the Student Democracy at NMBU at the central level is described in chapters $3-7$ of these statutes.

## § 2-2 At the Faculty Level

The Student Democracy at the faculty level consists of the Student Councils. They consist of the committees and boards that come out of the Faculty Council Model approved by the Student Parliament at Student Parliament meeting 6 on the 14.11.2016.

The highest student politics organ at the faculty level is the faculty's general assembly. Between general assemblies, the Faculty's Student Councils carry out daily tasks and represent the faculty's student democracy according to the working instructions that are submitted and approved of in the faculty general assembly in fall 2016.
In these statutes, faculties are defined through the structure that is approved of by the University Board on 16.06.2016. The Student Council at Campus Adamstuen is delegated the authority to communication and representation over the Welfare Parliament in Oslo and Akershus.

## Chapter 3: The Student Parliament

## § 3-1 Powers and Functions

The Student Parliament represents the students at NMBU, and decisions at the Student Parliament are made on behalf of all students.
The Student Parliament has the responsibility to inform about decisions made.

## § 3-2 Composition

The Faculties at NMBU are constituents of the Student Parliament. The Student Parliament consists of 25 representatives. These representatives are allocated based on the democracy model that was approved by the Student Parliament 6 in 2016. An updated number of students can be retrieved from the Database for Statistics on Higher Education (DBH) every fall. The average of the number of students between the spring and fall semesters are the basis for the following year's representative allocation in the Student Parliament.

## § 3-3 Constituting

The Student Parliament is elected for a calendar year. This occurs at the constituent meeting in the period between the fall's institute meetings and the new year.

## § 3-4 Meetings and Summoning

The Student Parliament much hold at least 3 meetings every semester.
The Student Board is responsible for the summoning of the Student Parliament. The summon and case papers should be available for all students at least 7 days before the meeting.

All students have the right to submit cases to the Student Parliament. This must be done at least 14 days before the meeting that the case is wished to be brought up in.
Special Student Parliaments must have at least 3 members of the Student Board in attendance, and at least 13
representatives from the Student Parliament, or there must be at least 25 students requesting the special meeting. Special Student Parliaments must be announced to all students at least 7 days before the meeting takes place, and it should only handle the topic(s) that was the reason for the summon.

## § 3-5 Decision Ability

The Student Parliament is allowed to make decisions when at least $50 \%$ of the representatives are present.

## § 3-6 Voting Rights and Voting

The Student Parliament's representatives have voting rights. If a permanent representative reports their absence, their appointed deputies assume their voting rights by attending.
Decisions in Student Parliament are made with simple majority ruling. Decisions are normally made by raising hands, unless at least one representative requires a written vote.
With a draw, the Student Parliament will revote. If the draw continues after a revote, another revote will occur after the case's implementation.

## § 3-7 Speaking and Proposal Rights

Everybody has the right to speak at Student Parliament meetings.
All students at NMBU have the right to make proposals at Student Parliament meetings. The meeting can, with a simple majority, grant other persons the right to submit proposals on individual cases.

## § 3-8 Representation at the Student Parliament

The following people have the requirement to attend the Student Parliament, and must report any absence in writing form before the meeting:

- The Student Parliament Representatives
- The Student Parliament's Student Board
- The Student Parliament's Organization Secretary

At least one representative from the following organs must attend the Student Parliament:

- The Student Representatives from the University Board
- The Student Representatives from the SiÅs Board
- International Student Union (ISU)

The following people are strongly encouraged to attend the Student Parliament

- The Student Representative from the Faculty Board
- Leaders of the Student Councils

All students at NMBU have the right to attend and speak at the Student Parliament.

## § 3-9 Closed Meetings

The Student Parliament meetings are open. The Student Parliament can decide to close a meeting with a $2 / 3$ majority amongst the present representatives. Closed meetings will have their own separate "minutes" that are exempt from public disclosure.

## § 3-10 Position Resignation

The Student Parliament Representatives automatically resign from their place in the Student Parliament when they are elected to any of the following positions:

- The Student Parliament's Student Board
- The University board
- SiÅs Board
- The Student Board of the Norwegian Student Organization.

With resignation, the person's deputy is automatically promoted to take over their role as a permanent representative.

## Chapter 4: The Student Parliament's Student Board

## § 4-1 Powers and Functions

The Student Board (AU) carries out the daily tasks of the Student Parliament, and represents the Student Parliament between meetings. AU can make decisions in urgent cases, decisions delegated to them through previous decisions of the Student Parliament, and cases that do not require to be handled by the entire Student Parliament. AU is involved in all cases handled by the Student Parliament, with the exception of electoral cases.

## § 4-2 Structure

The Student Board shall consist of the following members:

- President
- Vice President
- Welfare Officer
- International Officer
- Marketing Officer
- Committee Member

The working time and election period are regulated by the statute § 5-1.

## § 4-3 Decision Ability and Tied Vote

The Student Board is able to make decisions when at least four members are present.
With a draw, the president of the Student Board has a double vote.

## § 4-4 Observers

The following are permanent observers in the Student Board with the right to speak and make proposals:

- Student Representatives of the University Board
- The Student Parliament's Organization Secretary
- The President of the Student Council at Campus Adamstuen during the transition period with two campuses.

The Student Board has the authority to call in other observers as needed.

## Chapter 5: Elections

## § 5-1 Elections for the Student Board

The president and vice president of the Student Parliament's Student Board are elected through an electronic vote, where all students with a valid semester registration have the right to vote. The process takes place in April every year. The positions last for one year, between 1.7, and 30.06.
The members of the Student Board besides the President and Vice President, are elected by the Student Parliament. The election happens at the constituent Student parliament. The position lasts for one year, between 1.1 and 31.12. The election is conducted with written ballots, and is decided with an absolute majority. If no candidates gain an absolute majority, then there will be a new election with the two candidates who received the most votes in the first round.

## § 5-2 Elections for the University Board

The two student representatives in the University Board (US) are elected through an electronic vote, where all students with a valid semester registration have the right to vote. The process takes place in April every year. The positions last for one year, between 1.7, and 30.06. In the transition period with two campuses, there must be one elected from the Adamstuen Campus, and one from the Ås Campus.

## § 5-3 Other Elections in the Student Parliament

The student Parliament elects student representatives to central boards and positions at NMBU, so separate committees, and to the welfare board. The election is conducted with written ballots, and is decided with a simple majority. With a
draw between two candidates, there will be a new round of voting. If there is still a draw after a new round, the decision will be made by drawing lots.
Students with a connection to the Welfare Organization of Oslo ( SiO ) do not have voting rights for representatives of the SiÅs Board. Those chosen have reporting requirements to the Student Parliament.

## § 5-4 Elections to the Faculty Board

Student Representatives for the Faculty Board are elected through an electronic vote, where all students with a valid semester registration in that faculty have the right to vote. The electronic ballot takes place between October and January every year. The position lasts for 1 year, between 01.01 and 31.12.

## Chapter 6: Issues of Mistrust

## § 6-1 Proposing an Issue of Mistrust

The proposal of an issue of mistrust can be raised by student parliament representatives or organs within the student democracy, and should be gives in a written formal to the Student Parliament's organizational secretary. To handle issues of mistrust, those which it applies to have the opportunity to present their case.

## § 6-2 Mistrust with Members of the Student Parliament

The Student Parliament can approve of the mistrust claims against representatives with a $2 / 3$ majority according to the statutes $\S \S 5-1$ second paragraph, and 5-3. Those that mistrust decisions are made against must resign immediately from their position in the Student Parliament.

## § 6-3 Mistrust with Members Elected through Electronic Vote

According to statutes §§ 5-1 first paragraph and 5-2, Issues of mistrust claims against student representatives ellected through electronic vote are handled first by the Student Parliament. If the mistrust proposal is approved with a $2 / 3$ majority amongst present representatives, the situation will be handled with a vote where all students with valid semester registration have the right to vote. Confirmation of the mistrust requires at least a $50 \%$ majority amongst the answers submitted in the election. Those who have accusations of mistrust against them confirmed must resign immediately from their position in the Student Parliament.

## Chapter 7: Instructions

## § 7-1 Instructions for the Student Parliament

The following instructions are to be approved of by the Student Parliament

- Instructions for the rules of procedure and agenda
- Instructions for the elections of the Student Board and other boards


## § 7-2 Instructions for the Student Parliament's Student Board

The following instructions are to be approved on by the Student Parliament

- Job descriptions for the Student Board.


## § 7-3 Instruction for the Faculty Level

Student Parliament approves of the structure and composition of the Student Councils at the faculty level

## § 7-4 Instructions for the Student Councils

The Student Parliament approves of a standardized instruction for the different Student Councils at NMBU. The Student Councils have the opportunity to create their own additional instructions, but cannot disregard or change the previous instructions without approval of the Student Parliament

## § 7-5 Other Instructions

The Student Parliament can approve of other instructions as needed.

## Chapter 8: Dissolution of the Student Democracy at NMBU

## § 8-1 Dissolution

The Dissolution of the Student Democracy ay NMBU must be approved with a $2 / 3$ majority vote amongst present representatives at the two following meetings. The decision goes into effect after the second meeting is finished.
Proposals of resolution should be made known at least 4 weeks before it is to be addressed the first time, and the Student Parliament meeting that addresses it the first time must be kept open and all students at NMBU called in.

## § 8-2 Merging with Other Student Democracies

The merging of the Student Democracy at NMBU with one or more other student democracies must be approved with a $2 / 3$ majority vote amongst present representatives at the two following meetings. Proposals to merge must specify when the decision would realized.

## Chapter 9: Amending the Statutes

## § 9-1 Submitting Amendment Proposals

Proposals to amend these statutes must be in the hands of the Student Parliament's Student Board at least 14 days before the amendments are to be handled, and should be made available to all students at least 7 days before the meeting takes place.

## § 9-2 Resolution, and when amendments takes effect

Amendments to this constitution must be enacted by the Student Parliament with a $2 / 3$ majority vote among the present representatives. The amendments take effect immediately after the meeting where they are treated comes to an end. The Student Parliament can with a $3 / 4$ majority vote among the present representatives enact that the amendments take effect immediately after they are enacted.

The Student Board has authorization to make editorial changes to this constitution. Such changes shall be made known for the Student Parliament on the first meeting after they are made.

## Chapter 10: Definitions

§ 10-1 Majority Regulations
A simple majority: The proposal that the present voting gets the most votes.
Simple majority: More than $1 / 2$ of the present voting votes for.
A qualified majority: More than $2 / 3$ of the present voting votes for.
Absolute majority: More than half of the total electorate votes for.

## Attachment 2 Rules of procedure and agenda for the Student Parliament (ST) at NMBU

## Enacted Student Parliament 1, 2016

## Rules of procedure

## 1) In general

### 1.1 Everyone present with the right to vote have to be registered at the beginning of the

 meeting.1.2 If someone leaves before the meeting is adjourned, name and during which case number the person left must be registered.

### 1.3 The chair of the meeting consists of 2 chairmen

1.4 The Student Parliament secretary is responsible for the minutes. It should contain:

- Who was chair of the meeting;
- Representatives with compulsory attendance present
- Outline of the debate
- Entries in the minutes
- Result when voting over alternative solutions.
- Results from elections
1.5 The meeting papers have to be translated into English.
1.6 The case documents and the minutes will be sent to the Student Parliament representatives, the University Board student representatives, Study committee and the research committee, Tuntreet, Samfunnet Board, International Students Union (ISU), SiÅs student representatives, candidates running for elections, Norwegian student organisation (NSO) and the leaders of NMBU.
1.7 The case documents and minutes have to be published on the Student Parliament webpage, fronter and available at the Student Board office.
1.8 Majority rules follow the statutes


## 2) Implementation of the meeting

2.1 ST have to be chaired in accordance to these rules of procedure and normal meeting practices. In situations of doubt, the chair of the meeting will interpret the rules of procedure and the practice. The chairs evaluation can be overruled by a simple majority.
2.2 Cases will be processed in accordance to the agenda.
2.3 All proposals of change or solutions have to be written down and presented to the chair of the meeting.
2.4 All statute- and discussion cases will begin with an opinion round among the institutes, preferably by the SR-leader (Student counsel leader), if appropriate. Speaking time: 2 minutes per institute.
2.5 The following rules applies for entries and comments.

- Entries should be no longer than 2 minutes
- Two comments and one answering comment per entry is allowed. Speaking time: maximum 30 seconds.
- The chairmen of the meeting can make changes to the two elements above if necessary.
- Registration of case information/rules of procedure will be given speaking time of 30 seconds immediately after the on-going exchange of comments are finished.
2.6 Allowed during the meeting:
- Maximum two entries per case per ST representative
- Maximum two entries from the case presenter for the relevant case.
- Maximum one entry from other participants of the meeting.
- Introductory opinion round and case presentation is not regarded as entries.
2.7 With a qualified majority, the Student Parliament can open for extra entries if the case take an unexpected and important turn. A written proposal have to be submitted from a different person than the person concerned. A proposal of extended speaking time for everyone at the meeting can only be proposed by a representative with the right to vote.
2.8 Signs:
- Entries are one finger in the air
- Comments are two fingers formed as a "V". Should be used to comment on entries and have to be registered during the entry. A reply comment have to be registered while a comment is stated.
- Case information about the agenda or rules of procedure is two hands formed as a "T". The sign should only be used for case information or a proposal of changing the agenda or rules of procedure.
2.9 When registering for the speaking list, the speaker should receive a sign of confirmation from the chairmen that the speaker is registered. The sign can be a nod. If the chairmen shakes his/her head it means that one cannot register for the speaking list.
2.10 The chairmen will inform the meeting when speaking time approaches the end.
2.11 The chairmen should:
- Inform each speaker if he/she has an entry, comment or case information.
- At regular intervals, refer to the speaking list and after every entry inform of any comments to the entry.
- When they see it necessary, prioritize speakers who haven't spoken during the relevant case or meeting.
2.12 The chairmen can decide to set a speaking line. The chairmen will inform the meeting that it is possible to register for the speaking list before and during the next entry. The speaking line can be revoked by $2 / 3$ majority.
2.13 At least one representative from each institute have to master the Norwegian language. Entries, comments or case information presented in English should be answered in English. The chairmen are responsible to translate to Norwegian if necessary.
3 Elections and voting
3.1 All ST-representatives with the right to vote will be given a voting card to use when voting.
3.2 The chairman will inform about how the elections and voting will be performed.
3.3 When there are elections and voting the chairmen will present a suggestion to ST about speaking time and number of questions. The counting committee is responsible for the voting and the voting paper.
3.4 If there are several options to vote for, the chairmen will find an appropriate way to vote. ST can by simple majority decide on a different way to vote. As a general rule two opposite suggestions will be set up against each other.
3.5 After elections, every candidate can request to see her/his own voting number.
3.6 The meeting cannot be adjourned before all results are announced.


## Agenda

Different cases can be presented for the agenda:

- Constitution

Cases regarding game rules, approving and appointing. Cases for miscellaneous have to be registered before or during the constitution.

- Informative cases
- Cases that only inform. If a debate is wanted the cases have to be presented as a discussion or statute case at the next ST.
- Report from the University Board (US), The Student Board (AU), The Committee of Study Issues, The Committee of Student Welfare in Ås (SiÅs), The Student and

Academic's, International Help Fund (SAIH), The International Student Union (ISU), The Committee of Research Issues, The Learning Environment Committee (LMU). It is opened for questions to the reports. If a debate is wanted about the elements of a report it have to be presented.

- Statute cases
- Cases demanding binding decisions. It regards amendments of statutes and other steering documents, new of clarification of politics, economical cases and other cases were voting is relevant.
- If necessary, an electronic statute can be used between ST meetings. An electronic statute cannot be used if the case is of significant importance, unless ST has approved this in advance in accordance to the instruction for electronic statutes.
- Discussion cases

Will not be voted over, but is fundamental for the Student Board's further work with the relevant case.

- Administrative cases

Elections and appointments. Will be ended after elections or voting.

- Miscellaneous

All participants of the meeting can present cases outside the agenda as long as these cases are registered before or during the constitution. The cases cannot result in any decision.

- Evaluation of the meeting

All participants can state their opinion about the meeting and award ceremonies.

## Attachment 3 Working Instructions for the Student Parliament's Control Committee

Proposal approved at Student Parliament 7, 28th of November 2016.

## Authority

The Control Committee is an advisory board that is responsible for reporting to the Student Parliament about regulations and protocol.
The Control Committee, by request or self-initiation, investigates if protocol is being followed.

## Composition and Duration

The Committee will consist of 3 members, one of which being the leader. The leader does not have a double vote. The Committee will be chosen by the Student Parliament. The Leader will be chosen at Student Parliament 6, and other members will be chosen at Student Parliament 3. Representatives in the Control Committee sit for one year.

## Duties

The control committee is required to attend Student Parliament. At least two members of the committee must be in attendance. The tasks for the Control Committee at Student Parliament are:

- The Control Committee oversees that statues, guidelines and protocol are followed.
- At Student Parliament, at least one/1 of the members of the Control Committee in attendance will be a part of the counting committee.
- Kontrollkomiteen skal avlegge en skriftlig årsrapport til Studentting. Den skal presenteres som en orienteringssak på Studentting 6.
- To assist the Student Parliament and Student Board when necessary.


## Cases of Mistrust

With cases of mistrust, the Control Committee must be neutral. The Committee should just oversee that protocol and regulations are being followed.

## Overlap

The current control committee is responsible for adequate overlap with new members of the committee. Overlap consists of two meetings before the next Student Parliament. This means
that for the members chosen at Student Parliament 3, the overlap should be completed before Student Parliament 4. For the new leader, the overlap should happen before Student Parliament 1. The first meeting will be about the practice of the control committee together with the Student Parliament. The second meeting will be about cases from the past year that the outgoing members have handled.

## Other Guidelines

The members of the control committee may not be a part of other committees in the student democracy during their time in the committee. Members of the Control Committee cannot, during their time in the committee, sit in committees chosen by the Student Parliament as well as the following:

- Student Board
- Student Parliament
- Faculty Board
- President or Vice President of their Student Council
- University Board


## Changes to the Working Instructions

The Student Parliament must approve the working instructions for the control committee with a $2 / 3$ vote from all representatives at parliament. Proposals to change the working instructions will be taken up by the control committee, and must be approved of by the Student Parliament.

These working instructions shall be up for revision one year after they are approved of for the first time.

## Candidate presentations

## Committee for Best lecturer of the semester

Hi!
My name is Hanne Marie Richenberg, I'm 24 years old and come from Halden. I am currently in my third year of studying renewable energy, and I'm very pleased with NMBU and everything it has to offer, both schoolwise and socially. I like to get involved, and I think being a part of electing the best lecturer of the semester could be both interesting and enjoyable. It is important to have high quality education, and at the same time, it's nice to give some extra attention to those who are good at providing it.

## Substitute in the welfare committee

## Hi,

my name is Ida Marie Munthe Sakseide, and I begin my fourth semester in the Bachelor's degree in Biology at NMBU. I wish to enter as substitute for Tord Hauge in the welfare committee. This is my first position in the student democracy at Ås, and I am therefore able to fill the gap after Hauge with great enthusiasm in addition to having a more objective view on the cases submitted to the committee. I have a background as an assistant teacher in the MATH100 course by the university from the previous semester, which implies that I can evaluate economic issues from a mathematical view. I'm looking forward to take on the challenges of reading case papers and applications, and also discuss and make choices along with the committee.

Best Regards
Ida Marie

