

Student Parliament 4 2016



Minutes

Monday, September 19, 2016
5:15 PM – 9:00 PM

TF 102, fløy III
Campus Ås

Chairmen: Lasse Hjelle
og Tord Eirik Feldt Enger

Secretary: Maria Sibbern

AGENDA

STUDENT PARLIAMENT MEETING NO. 4 – 2016, MONDAY, SEPTEMBER AT 5:15 PM TF 102, FLØY III, CAMPUS ÅS
COMPLETE CASE DOCUMENTS ARE ONLY SENT TO PERMANENT STUDENT REPRESENTATIVES. THE DOCUMENTS ARE ALSO
PUBLISHED ON CLASSFRONTER AND THE STUDENT BOARD'S WEBSITE:
<http://www.nmbu.no/student/studenttinget>

Registration begins at 5:00 PM!

1641	CONSTITUTING	4
1641.1	APPROVAL OF THE SUMMON AND AGENDA	4
1641.2	APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES FROM THE PREVIOUS MEETING	4
1641.3	APPOINTING OF A COUNTING COMMITTEE.....	4
1642	ORIENTATION CASES	5
1642.1	MINUTES.....	5
1642.2	FINANCIAL STATUS: PRELIMINARY RESULTS PER 19.09.2016	5
1642.3	STATUS ON THE REORGANIZATION PER TODAY	6
1643	DECISION CASES	7
1643.1	CHANGE OF THE “FRAMEWORK OF A WORKING YEAR WITHIN THE STUDENT PARLIAMENT”	7
1643.2	APPROVAL OF THE INCLUSION FUNDS COMMITTEE	8
1644	DISCUSSION CASES.....	9
1644.1	DISCUSSION REGARDING A POSSIBLE CONTROL COMMITTEE.....	9
1644.2	REVISION OF THE RULES FOR WELFARE FUNDS	13
1645	ADMINISTRATIVE CASES	15
1645.1	ELECTIONS FOR THE WELFARE FUNDS COMMITTEE	15
1645.2	ELECTIONS FOR THE ETHICS COMMITTEE	15
1645.3	ELECTIONS FOR THE EDUCATION COMMITTEE.....	16
1645.4	ELECTIONS FOR THE CENTRAL ADMISSIONS COMMITTEE.....	17
1646	EXTRA CASES	17
1647	MEETING EVALUATION.....	18

1641 Constituting

1641.1 Approval of the summon and agenda

The following possible topics were brought fourth as extra cases:

- Parking at Campus Ås and challenges associated with the new scheme
- The requested briefing from SiÅs about Eika Athletic Center and Storebrand
- Date change for ST 5
- The upcoming elections in fall 2016

The student parliament approved of moving the decision cases in front of the orientation cases.

Summon and agenda was approved by the student parliament.

1641.2 Approval of the minutes from the previous meeting

1 Minutes are posted on our website (<http://www.nmbu.no/student/studenttinget>)
2 and in the student democracy room on ClassFronter one week before every student
3 parliament. Those who wish to have a paper copy of the minutes can get them at the student
4 parliament office.

5 There is information about those who became vice representatives to the university board of
6 the student parliament in spring 2016 in the meeting papers of student parliament 3.

7
8 Minutes from the previous meeting were approved by the student parliament.
9

10

11 1641.3 Appointing of a counting committee

12

13 1. Ole Johan Holtet

14

15 2. Erling Bjurbeck

16

17 3.

18

19 There was not sufficient attendance at the back bench to complete the counting committee.

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 1642 Orientation cases

28

29 1642.1 Minutes

30

31 The minutes shall be put directly into the Student Democracy room at Fronter (Class Fronter)
32 before 12.00 the Wednesday before Student Parliament.

33
34 This is to get the most updated minutes, and minimize paper usage. Copies of each minutes will
35 be printed out and kept at the Student Democracy office, together with the case papers from
36 the current Student Parliament.

37
38 Those who report to the Student Parliament through minutes are:

- 39
40
 - The Student Board (AU)
 - 41 • The University Board (US)
 - 42 • The Education Board (SU)
 - 43 • Student Welfare Organization in Ås (SiÅs)
 - 44 • Student and Academics helping fond (SAIH)
 - 45 • International Student Union (ISU)
 - 46 • The Research Board (FU)
 - 47 • The Learning Environment Committee (LMU)

48

49 SiÅs' chairman, Erling Bjurbeck, spoke shortly about the Eika Athletic Center, which
50 was supposed to open mid-October.

51 Questions concerning membership to Eika Athletic Center can be taken directly to Eika's
52 administration.

53 Regarding the upgrading of Storebrand; at the moment there is no tentative timeline for
54 the project. SiÅs will come back to the project when Eika Athletic Center is finished.

55

56

57 1642.2 Financial status: Preliminary results per 19.09.2016

58

Case responsible: Mariya Khanamiryan

59

60 **Attachments:**

61

- 62
 - Results report for the period: 01.01.2016-19.09.2016 (Attachment 1)
 - 63 • Accounting report for the 2015 (will be given out at the Student Parliament).

64

65 **Purpose:**

66 The student Board wants to make changes in the "Frame of a working year within the Student
67 Parliament" (wheel of the year) by removing the decision case "Shadow accounting" from
68 Student parliament 4 and introducing an orientation case "Financial Status: preliminary results
69 per XX.XX.20XX" for the Student Parliament 3. Student board finds it sensible to orientate
70 Student Parliament about this year's preliminary result. This means accounts from 01.01.2016
71 and until 19.09.2016. This is to both provide the Student Parliament with insight into the
72 finances of the Student Democracy at NMBU and to give the Student Parliament a starting point
73 for the discussion case "Budget for 2017" that will be presented at the Student Parliament 5.

74

75 **Overview of the accounts:**

Account name	Account no.	Name	Account no.
Salary – Translation of meeting documents	5330	Marketing, information and the web	7300
Courses, education and training – Participation fee, Kick-off and overlap	6710	Meetings, travel and representation – travels, car allowance and various hospitality	7350
Office – office supplies, equipment, paper, newspapers and Inventory	6801	Student Parliament meetings – copying and serving	7530
Organisational expenses – Flowers, gifts, coffee, tea and social events.	6821	Campus transportation	7532
Phone	6901	Various expenses	7700
Postal	6940		

76
77
78
79

Student Parliament finds itself orientated.

80 **1642.3 Status on the reorganization per today**

81 *Case responsible: Mariya Khanamiryan*

82

83 **Attachments:**

84

85 . “University Board protocol from case 61/2016” (Attachment 2)

86

87 . The full protocol can be found here:

88

89 https://www.nmbu.no/sites/default/files/pdfattachments/utkast_protokoll_us_16062016.pdf

90

91

92 **Intention:**

93 Students at NMBU shall be orientated about the University Board’s decision made on the 16th of
94 June and the status of the reorganization.

95

96 **Background:**

97 At the university board meeting on the 16th of June the following was decided: The amount
98 of governance levels is changed from 3 to 2, with units at level two referred to as faculties. The
99 model of the seven academic units will be adopted.

100

101

102

103

The names are tentative:

- Faculty of Environmental Science and Nature – consisting of INA and IMV
- Faculty of Biosciences – consisting of IHA and IPV without the green environment
- Faculty of landscape and society – consisting of ILP, green environment and NORAGRIC
- Faculty of Veterinary Medicine –consisting of BasAM, ProdMed, SportFaMed, MatInf.
- Faculty of Chemistry, Biotechnology and Food Science – consisting of IKBM
- Business school NMBU – consisting of Business school at NMBU
- Faculty of Science and Technology – consisting of IMT

Implementation of the new management and leadership model is effective as of 01.01.2017

The student board president and case responsible, Mariya Khanamiryan, explains that this was announced at NMBU's open meeting on 18.06.16. The student board wishes that the parliament's representatives take this information to their institutes and student councils to inform them about the reorganization at NMBU.

The student board also announced that they have hardly begun to work on reorganizing the student democracy. There will be an invitation for student representatives to take part in that reorganization.

The student parliament requested more information from NMBU's central administration for all students. It is also preferable that students receive more information about physical consolidation. In addition, a timeline for the student democracy's own reorganization was also requested. The student board cannot, at this point, respond with anything concrete on this matter.

Student Parliament finds itself orientated.

1643 Decision cases

1643.1 Change of the "Framework of a working year within the Student Parliament"

Case responsible: Mariya Khanamiryan

Attachments:

- " Framework of a working year within the Student Parliament"

Proposed change:

Changing the "Framework of a working year within the Student Parliament" (wheel of the year) by removing the decision case "Shadow accounting from Student parliament 4 and introducing an orientation case " Financial Status: preliminary results per XX.XX.20XX" for the Student Parliament 3.

Purpose:

"Shadow accounting" is a term that is confusing for many that sit both at the Student Parliament and those who are elected to Student Board. In the "Framework for the working

year within the Student Parliament” (wheel of the year), each president of the Student board has interpreted and resolved the case differently. The student board wants to standardize the case to make it less misleading and easier to understand.

The reason that the Student Board wants to change the case from the decision case to orientation case is following: SiÅs is the one that leads the accounting of the Student Democracy and it is both SiÅs and auditors who approve the accounts before it is introduced at the Student Parliament. Per today, “Shadow accounting” is a decision case; in accordance with the “Framework of a working year within the Student Parliament 2016”, the accounting for the 2015 should be presented.

Student board believes that this is misleading to present, since SiÅs and the external auditor have already “decided” and approved this accounting report.

The sitting president of the Student Board is personally responsible for the Student Democracy’s budget. Student board therefore wants to move the orientation case to the Student Parliament 3. By doing so, we aim to ensure that the Student Parliament remains orientated of current expenditure during the current president’s period. This way, the president that starts his/her period in July would not be held accountable for the former president’s decisions.

Proposed resolution:

- Student Parliament approves to take away decision case “shadow accounting” from the “The framework for the working year within the Student Parliament”.
- Student Parliament approves the introduction of the orientation case “Financial Status: preliminary results per XX.XX.20XX” for the Student Parliament 3 into the “Framework for the working year within the Student Parliament”.
- Student Parliament approves the new “The framework for the working year within the Student Parliament”.

The proposal was unanimously approved.

1643.2 Approval of the Inclusion Funds Committee

Case responsible: Jonas Wettre Thorsen

Intention:

Approving the representatives that will hold a seat in the Inclusion Funds Committee. The Committee will consist of representatives chosen because of their current positions, rather than personal elections.

Background:

The Inclusion Funds Committee position has changed from a limited time position to an indefinite position. This is to make the Student Parliament more efficient by avoiding unnecessary administration cases. It is therefore important to point out that all seats will be held by different people due to their positions and those people will not be personally elected for the committee. That is why no names are listed behind each position. This way the Student Parliament will not approve of the people themselves, but positions instead. SIT is the only exception, where the current International Officer (Jonas Wettre Thorsen) wishes for it to be bound to that person until further notice. This is why that specific position has a name.

202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215

216

217

218

219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250

Proposal of members:

- International Officer in the Student Board
- Welfare Officer in the Student Board
- Head of Events in the Community (Samfunnet) Board
- President in ISU
- One representative from the Students Information Center(SIT): Vilma
Veronica Bischof

Decision:

The Student Parliament approves these positions to be the participants of the Inclusion Funds Committee. This will be in effect until the Student Parliament at a later time wishes to revise or change this decision.

The proposal was unanimously approved.

1644 Discussion cases

1644.1 Discussion regarding a possible Control Committee

Case responsible: Ina Catharina Kim Isheden Storrønning

Attachments:

- «Work instructions for the Control Committee for the Student Parliament at NTNU» (Attachment 4)

Intention:

The student Board wants possible feedback from the Student Parliament regarding the function and job description of a Control Committee for further debate. This is to begin the establishment of such a committee at NMBU.

Background:

The Student Democracy at NMBU has no Control Committee that supervises the rules and regulations of the democracy. This is viewed as problematic as it is each board's task and responsibility to ensure these are being followed. The Student Board views it as especially problematic that there is no advising function or board to support cases in our democracy. Student Board therefore wishes to start the process to ensure that there is such a board in the new organization of the university. This way the Student Board feels the Student Democracy will be well taken care of and will evolve in a positive direction.

Some aspects for discussion:

- What kind of function shall the Control Committee have?
- How many members shall the Committee have?
- How long shall each member hold a position?
- Other interesting aspects for discussion

251 Feedback by Institution:

252

253 IHA:

- 254 • Feels like it puts a lot of responsibility on the student council to define such a
- 255 committee.
- 256 • It is a bit unclear what the student board wishes with such a committee
- 257 • What is the student board looking for?
- 258 • How does a control committee work in general?
- 259 • Requests more guidance.
- 260 • Feels that there should be a low threshold to sit in such a committee, and the committee
- 261 members should receive training. (Lowering the threshold for participation.)

262

263 IKBM:

- 264 • Feels it is a good thing to introduce.
- 265 • Must begin small
- 266 • Feels the instructions for the control committee at NTNU are fine.
- 267 • Needs to operate as an advisory organ.
- 268 • What about the recruitment for the committee? What kind of qualifications
- 269 should/must the members have?
- 270 • Becoming a committee member must be rewarding and attractive.
- 271 • Start small. Maybe 3 members?

272

273 ILP:

- 274 • Their student council had little to say about the subject.
- 275 • Feels negatively about more bureaucracy.
- 276 • Needs to be a small selection, chosen by the student parliament.
- 277 • Should not be able to raise issues of elected official mistrust.
- 278 • Must receive training.

279

280 IMV:

- 281 • Thinks it is difficult in regard to concrete actions.
- 282 • But feels it is a good idea/initiative.
- 283 • It needs to start small, not too many members in the committee.

284

285 IPV:

- 286 • Agrees with the others, does not want a large committee.
- 287 • Student council can receive help, advice.
- 288 • Sees it as a necessary undertaking.

- 289 • Thinks the instructions from NTNU's control committee look great.
- 290 • But they feel like they don't know much more about it from before to say much more.
- 291 • Overlap is important!

292
293 INA:

- 294 • Agrees with the other institutes, their student council was a bit unsure.
- 295 • Feels it could be a loaded job.
- 296 • Thinks it could be a lot of paperwork to read and keep track of.

297
298 VET:

- 299 • They themselves have a veterinary student who sits in all meetings. The person can
- 300 speak as they wish but must remain neutral. (Attends as an observer.)
- 301 • Does there need to be 3 members in such a committee?
- 302 • Must they have so many responsibilities?
- 303 • The most important thing is that someone makes sure that the protocol is followed.

304
305 IMT:

- 306 • Feels negatively towards more bureaucracy.
- 307 • Wants to know why is it to attractive.
- 308 • Requests more information.
- 309 • It needs to not be so large.

310
311 HH:

- 312 • Feels that a control committee is no easy endeavor.
- 313 • Thinks it was great to have instructions to look at.
- 314 • The committee's function must be to ensure that protocol and guidelines are followed.
- 315 • Must be neutral in confidential issues.
- 316 • Assists the student council as needed.
- 317 • The number of members should be odd. No leader with double votes in case of internal
- 318 disagreement.
- 319 • A minimum period of overlap.
- 320 • Thinks it will be easier to discuss such a committee when more concrete information is
- 321 available.

322
323 Noragric:

- 324 • Have not discussed it with the student council.
- 325 • But it has been encouraged by Noragric before, so they support the initiative.
- 326 • Important to have qualified members.

327
328 Highlights from the discussion:
329

- 330 • Not such a big group, must have a maximum number with a leader.
- 331 • Will it be chosen by the student parliament 6 or by the founding student parliament?
- 332 The previous student parliament who chooses next year's committee.
- 333 • Will they work actively with the student parliament? Will they report every parliament
- 334 meeting, or once a year?
- 335 • Confidentiality agreement?
- 336 • How much will the committee follow up on?
- 337 • Can initiate investigations themselves, if they have the possibility. This is important for
- 338 a democratic system.
- 339 • 3 members was said by many to be an appropriate number of members for the
- 340 committee.
- 341 • Can overrule the student parliament. (Student parliament is the highest level
- 342 organization.)
- 343 • Student parliament has previously counted on knowledgeable, energetic students on
- 344 the back bench who know of the protocols and structure of the student democracy at
- 345 NMBU. These key persons have disappeared. They cannot count on support from the
- 346 back bench.
- 347 • Instructions; must handle issues regarding elected official mistrust. There is nothing
- 348 about that. That is requested. Instructions must give constraints about when the
- 349 committee is to be used.
- 350 • Must not be confined to the NTNU instructions
- 351 • Important to have competent people in the committee
- 352 • Must not create a false sense of security
- 353 • Must have mandatory meetings with the student parliament.
- 354 • Not too many members in the committee.
- 355 • Might be difficult recruiting people for the position
- 356 • Compensation? But where would the funds come from?
- 357 • Should the leader of the committee be chosen by student vote? Not completely sure that
- 358 all should have the possibility to choose them, when not all know entirely what the
- 359 committee will work with.
- 360 • The committee should have access to a lawyer at NMBU as an advisor, with situations to
- 361 which protocol does not apply.

- The committee must meet regularly to become familiar with the case papers beforehand.
- It was pointed out that the committee should not be chosen by student vote if the students don't understand the position. It is preferable that the committee be chosen together with the student board elections (not the president/vice president elections.) Constitutes its own head as a part of the student parliament. A half-year's overlap with a year long working time. Like in the case of the student board, this will ensure continuity.
- Is the appointing so grave? Not so many policies.
- The student parliament should only decide who sits in the committee.
- The student parliament has the responsibility for appointing competent people.
- Is it important that they should be actual NMBU students? They don't necessarily need to be current students, but they need to have been a student at some point. They need to be available and in the area.
- Can the members of the control committee sit in other positions?
- They should not, because they need to be neutral/unaffiliated.
- Deciding alongside the student parliament can ensure a certainty affluence and quality of the committee's members.
- Impartiality must be continuously assessed.

1644.2 Revision of the rules for Welfare Funds

Case responsible: Halvor Kongevold

Attachments:

- Current rules for allocation of the Welfare funds (Attachment 5)
- Application form for Welfare Funds (Attachment 6)
- TEMPLATE – Application for Community Meeting Funds (Attachment 7)
- TEMPLATE – Report regarding Community Meeting Funds (Attachment 8)

Intention:

Create a discussion regarding the current rules and application form for Welfare Funds. This is in order to make a decision case for the proposed revisal at the Student Parliament 6.

Background:

In the current rules for Welfare Funds (approved at Student Parliament 6, 10.11.14) it says that the rules and application shall be discussed at Student Parliament 4, and be revised at Student Parliament 6 every even numbered year.

Aspects for discussion:

- Are the rules and application clear and easily understood as they are?
- How can we better separate the event funds from Community Meeting Funds?
- Should there be an application form template for Community Meeting Funds, as well as its own report template? Look at the attachments for both application and report. Is there anything that should be included, or removed from these proposals?
- Should the paragraph regarding complaints be made clearer? Do you have any proposals for what this paragraph should contain?

There is nothing in the requirements about community meeting funds and what they cover. The limit is set at 1000,- according to the documents - why is this set as the limit? Does it need to be regulated with a clause that requires receipts for values under 1000,-?

Highlights from the discussion:

- In the application it is possible to write examples about what the community meeting funds are used for.
- Clarify how much shall go to the various funds and purposes.
- Clearer understanding that things shall happen at Campus Ås. This is a requirement.
- Define more clearly what community meeting funds are.
- Separation between community meeting funds and activity funds. All clubs and societies can apply for activity funds.
- Switch paragraphs 1.5 and 1.4. Paragraph 1.4 then becomes: "not eligible for activity funds."
- Continuous receipts - it seems strange to not require receipts for all values.
- Are there suspicions that people misuse this trust?
- Desirable to protect against this.
- What are activity funds? More specifically, what can one apply for?
- Conflict with the applications, how to know if it is society meeting funds or activity funds?
- The value limit of 1000,- was most likely set in order to save time when handling applications.
- All clubs and societies should keep accounts and hold an annual meeting.
- That is why one should be able to their accounting when called upon by the welfare committee.

447 1645 Administrative cases

448 1645.1 Elections for the Welfare Funds Committee

449 *Case responsible: The Election Committee*

450

451 **Intention:**

452 To elect 2 representatives to the Welfare Funds Committee. The position lasts for 1 academic
453 year and goes into effect immediately.

454

455 **Background:**

456 The Welfare Funds Committee handles applications regarding welfare funds from student
457 unions and makes a proposal for Student Parliament. The Committee meets in autumn to
458 approve the first share of Welfare Funds (8%) and in spring to approve the rest (92%). The
459 Committee meets 1-2 times before Student Parliament 5 and 1-2 times before Student
460 Parliament 2. The meetings usually last for 8 hours.

461 The welfare funds contribute to the student environment and culture at Ås. It is exciting to
462 gain some insight to the coming year by reviewing student union activities, and contribute to a
463 fantastic year! The Committee consist of 7 people in total; the Welfare Officer at the Student
464 Board, the International Officer at the Student Board, the Economic responsible from the
465 Community Board, 1 student representative from SiÅs-Board, 1 earlier member of the
466 Committee and 2 new representatives elected at Student Parliament.

467

468 **Candidates:**

- 469 • Anniken Løvig
- 470 • Tord Hauge

471

472 The candidates presented themselves to the student parliament.

473 They were chosen with acclamation.

474

475

476 1645.2 Elections for the Ethics Committee

477 *Case responsible: The Election Committee*

478

479 **Intentions:**

480 One main representative and one deputy representative is to be elected for the Ethics
481 Committee. The position lasts for 1 year and goes immediately into effect upon elected.

482

483 **Background:**

484 The Ethics Committee is primarily a counseling committee that gives advice and information
485 regarding ethical problems linked to research, education and administration. It is also
486 concerned with NMBUs work in society at large. The position last for 1 year and is paid. It is a
487 good fit for all students with an interest in and understanding of ethical problems. Meetings are
488 conducted as needed.

489

490

491

Candidates:

- Elise Marie Eriksen

Deputy:

- Alma Olofsson

The candidates presented themselves to the student parliament.

They were chosen with acclamation.

1645.3 Elections for the Education Committee

Case responsible: The Election Committee

To elect 3rd 4th & 5th deputies for the Education Committee. The position lasts until 31.12.2016. The position immediately goes into effect upon the election.

Background:

At Student Parliament 4 in 2015, a deputy for the Education Committee was elected with a one-year work period. The deputy has completed that year, and as a result we need to elect a new deputy for the rest of this semester. This is to reestablish normal work terms.

After the Student Elections, Ina Catharina Storrønning was elected as the new Vice-President for the Student Board. She previously held a seat in the Education Committee. She therefore needs to step down as a deputy in the Education Committee.

At Student Parliament 3 in 2016, Halvor Kongevold was elected as a full time representative in the Education Committee. Therefore, he needs to step down as a deputy for the Committee.

It is therefore necessary that Student Parliament 4 elects 3 new students for deputy positions 3.,4., & 5. for the Education Committee.

The Education Committee is the educational-political consulting board at NMBU. They give advice, take initiative, and give input to the University Board and Principle regarding strategic cases on educational topics. Some examples could be studies, exams, and application requirements. The Committee also handles some cases delegated from the University Board. They have approximately 8 meetings spread throughout the year.

Candidates:

- Kirsti Winnberg 3rd deputy
- Rolf Hansen 4th deputy
- Anne Aase-Meland (running for 5th) 5th deputy
- Johanne Hempel Sveen

The candidates presented themselves to the student parliament and answered questions.

It was decided through voting. Votes were cast with the chosen candidates name written on ballots. The candidate with the most votes was chosen as 3rd deputy, etc.

537
538
539
540
541

- Kirsti Winnberg was chosen for 3rd deputy
- Rolf Hansen was chosen for 4th deputy
- Anne Aase-Meland was chosen for 5th deputy

542 1645.4 Elections for the Central Admissions Committee

543 *Case responsible: The Election Committee*

544

545 **Intention:**

546 To elect two deputy representatives for the Central Admission Committee. The position
547 lasts until 31.06.2017 and goes into effect upon the election immediately.

548

549 **Background:**

550 The two deputies elected at Student Parliament 2 are now finished as students at NMBU.

551 Therefore two new deputy representatives must be elected.

552 The members of Central Admission Committee evaluate applicants in "Samordna Opptak" who
553 cannot be evaluated only based on grades from high school. Central Admission Committee
554 handles each case individually, and based on the applicant the Committee decides if they
555 should be allowed to study at NMBU. The Committee consists of 1 representative from each
556 faculty, 1 representative from the Education Committee and 2 student representatives. The
557 Committee has earlier had one meeting a year in the beginning of July.

558

559 **Candidates:**

- 560 • Hans Kristian
- 561 • Runar Smedås

562

563 They were chosen with acclamation.

564

565 1646 Extra Cases

566

567 Parking regulations at Campus Ås:

568

- 569 • The system is difficult to assimilate into.
- 570 • Fines are too high.
- 571 • Much more unorganized parking in residential areas after the new regulations took
572 place.
- 573 • More have chosen to have a moped. These are being parked in bike parking spaces. Is
574 that allowed?

575 Input from student parliament:

576

- 577 • Concerning the high fees: AU can take the concern to the parking council.
- 578 • Concerning too few parking spots: the number of spots is decided by the commune.

- 579 • The regulations are provisional for a year.
- 580 • Will follow up about moped parking in bike parking spaces.
- 581 • Requested more information in English, and the signs must be in English.
- 582 • Empty spaces when all employees are not using their spaces.
- 583 • This is so that employees will park in employee spaces, and students will park in
- 584 student spaces.

585
586

587 Student Parliament 5 conflicts with a big concert at Samfunnet. Should it be moved to the

588 following day?

589 It was moved to 18.10 by vote.

590
591

592 The election board made an announcement about the committees that will be elected in the

593 fall. This included elections for the Buddy General, Research Committee, Academic Committee,

594 Student Board, Election Board and Chairmen.

595

596 The Education Committee's email is: studenttingets.valgnemd@nmbu.no

597

598 Information on all committees is available on the web and is more easily accessible.

599 You can also refer to the facebook page for the president and vice president for information on

600 all committees.

601
602

603 1647 Meeting Evaluation

604

- 605 • Please send in questions beforehand to the relevant minute reports.
- 606 • The content of the presentations of decision cases can be improved.
- 607 • Invite those who have previously sat in committees to hear more information about them.
- 608 • There should be a presentation of all present at the meeting before the meeting begins.
- 609 • More breaks
- 610 • Reward to those who bring along most people to be a part of the back bench.

611
612

613

614

615

616

617

618

619

620

621

622

623

624

625

List of attendance					
Institute	Student Parliament representatives	SP 4	SP 5	SP 6	Cake bakers
HH	Anne Aase-Mæland	x			SP 4
HH	Tord Hauge	x			SP 4
HH	Fredrikke Sæther	deputy			SP 4
IMT	Einar Brakstad	x			SP 2
IMT	Ina Elisabeth Hvam Lindmark				SP 2
IMT	Gunnar Størseth Haarr				SP 2
VET	Lea Lieutenant	x			
VET	Ellen Gustavsen	x			
VET	Kathrine Eidesmo	x			
IKBM	Karima Eid Bacha	x			
IKBM	Erik Oscar Tylleskär	x			
IKBM	Henrik Myklestu	x			
ILP	Hanne Gjermstad	x			
ILP	Sandra Klo Steine	deputy			
ILP	Marianne Midtlyng	deputy			
IMV	Solveig Gilleberg	x			
IMV	Turid Nerby	x			
INA	Mathias Kværnmo Jerpseth	x			SP 3
INA	Even August Bremnes Stokke	x			SP 3
NORAGRIC	Kerime van Opijnen	x			SP 1
NORAGRIC	Amalie R. Christiansen	x			SP 1
IPV	Oskar S Bjorå	deputy			
IPV	Kristine Eftestøl	x			
IHA	Kirsti Winnberg	x			
IHA	Anne G Wibe	x			

SiÅs	Erling Bjurbeck	x			
US	Ole Johan Holtet	x			
	Sol Høgset				
AU	Mariya Khanamiryan	x			
	Ina C Storrønning	x			
	Jonas Wettre	x			
	Halvor Kongevold	x			
	Sunniva Brajkovic	x			
	Helen Hoem	x			
ISU					

626
627
628